

REPORT

Of the Commissioner of the City of Tallahassee.

Pursuant to the act of the last Legislative Council, entitled "An Act to provide for building a Capitol, and for other purposes," the Commissioner has entered into contracts for building the walls, and enclosing the edifice, upon a plan adopted and approved agreeably to the requirements of that act. The plan contains on the principal floor, a Representative Hall, with an area of 2,682 square feet; a Senate Chamber, with an area of 1,543 square feet, and offices for the Clerks of both branches of the Legislature. On the entrance floor, four apartments, each with an area of 558 square feet, and four apartments each, with an area of 396 square feet, for Executive offices and Committee rooms. Provision is made in the elevated basement for an equal number of apartments, and excepting the pitch of the same dimensions as on the entrance floor, which can be furnished or not, as the wants of the Territory may require. Some changes may be deemed advisable in the plan of the building, for which provision has been made in the contracts. That which the Commissioner considers most important, and which he respectfully suggests, is the construction of an apartment on the entrance floor, of the same size as the Senate Chamber, for the United States Courts. This alteration in the plan will occasion very inconsiderable, if any, increase of cost.

The edifice is to be of brick, with Doric porticos on both fronts, and its exterior to be covered with cement, in imitation of granite.

Neither the covering of the exterior with cement, nor the finishing of the interior, excepting the floors, are embraced in the present contract, for the masonry is at \$23,500, and that for the Carpentry, including the slating, at \$13,987. The contractors have given adequate security for the fulfilment of the contracts, and the Territory is still further secured by their terms, as no payments are to be made until after delivery of materials, or execution of work. The contractors have engaged vigorously in the prosecution of the work, and have provided

large quantities of materials. Payment is now due for materials delivered according to the tenor of the contracts, which have not been met for want of funds, only \$3,000 dollars of the appropriation by the last Congress having yet come into the hands of the Territorial officers.

The receipt of the residue of the appropriation is daily expected.

The north west quarter of section 36, township 1, range 1, north and west, has been divided into lots, varying in size from one to ten acres, agreeably to the requirements of the law. It was so late in the season, before the survey was completed, that the postponement of the sale of the lots until the present winter was deemed expedient; there appears now to be a demand for the lots, and they can now be advantageously disposed of.

Under the thirteenth resolution of the Legislative Council, at their last session, the Capitol Square has been enclosed, and the operation of boring for water is in progress. The cost of the fence was \$1,000, and for painting it, \$325. of the latter, \$125 remains to be paid. The commissioner has expended on account of the boring: for boring apparatus, \$1,022 48-100, and for transportation of same from St. Marks, \$40 30-100. There is now on account thereof, for laborers employed thereon from 14th Nov. to present time, at \$140 per month, the carpenter's bill for the frame, the smith's bill for making and repairing tools, and a small bill of T. R. Betton for rope and other materials, and the bill for freight from Baltimore to St. Marks. These bills, as those for advertising, remain unsettled for want of funds, as before explained.

The accounts of the Commissioner, for receipts and disbursements of money, with the proper vouchers, has been delivered to the Territorial Treasurer, and a copy thereof accompanies this report.

Much difficulty has been experienced in ascertaining the amount still remaining due to the fund for lots in the original city, and north addition of Tallahassee, the particular lots for which it is due, and in some instances, the person from whom it is due. In some cases, further investigation is necessary to ascertain these, and reference will be required to other sources of information than the records of this office. There is also much difficulty in making a regular statement of the condition of the fund, in consequence of the accounts having been kept upon different systems by different Commissioners.

It appears that at the sale of lots in the original city, when one individual bought several, one note was taken for an instalment on several lots collectively, and when an instalment on one, or a part of the lots only was paid, the amount was credit-

ed on the note, or on the certificate, but the records of the office do not show on account of what lots the payment was made, nor for what lots the residue of the note was due.

When the fund was turned over in January, 1828, by Robert Butler, the preceding commissioner to J. P. Duval, his successor, the amount of money accounted for by the former, and of notes turned over to his successor, was equal to the amount for which lots sold. But in August, 1826, notes for the 1st instalment on which \$1,784 16-100 was due, was placed in the hands of R. C. Allen, Esq. for collection, and for which he gave his receipt. On these notes he paid over to the Commissioner \$1,001 99-100, with which his receipt is credited, leaving due on those notes when his receipt was turned over to J. P. Duval, Commissioner, \$782 19-100. But there is nothing to shew on account, of which of the notes the payments were made, nor for which the balance is due.

In order to get at the condition of the account for lots at this time, a statement was made from the sale list, collecting under one head the lots purchased by each individual, and the amount paid on account of them ascertained by deducting the amount of notes against each individual, from the cost of the lots purchased by him. The first instalment on all those lots, the notes for which had been placed in the hands of R. C. Allen, had necessarily to be left in suspense, until a statement could be procured from him.

In tracing down the account from this time, pursuing the same method with regard to the lots in the north addition, and endeavoring to ascertain which of them have been paid for by setting down against the lots purchased by any individual, the amount with which the Commissioners have charged themselves, as paid by those individuals, or for those lots, besides other difficulties the following occur: The Commissioner has charged himself with a particular sum including the cost, received from an individual, or on a lot, without stating the amount of the costs, and often without stating for what lot.

The Commissioner has acknowledged the receipt, from the officers of the county, of a sum of money on account of judgments. Decrees or executions against sundry individuals collectively, without stating the amount on account of each individual, or on account of what lots, or what portion was for cost, when the whole sum received did not equal the amount due from persons named.

The Commissioner has also charged himself with moneys received, without naming the individuals on whose account it was received, or for what lots. Instances of these kinds of entries will be given in the accompanying statements.

The records of the court have been examined for the elucidation of them, but as yet, without very satisfactory results.

There is a list of the lots which had been conveyed by the Commissioner, up to January, 1828; but since that time there appears to have been no regular list kept, of conveyances of lots in the old town, or of releases of the deeds of trust in the north addition. There is a file of certificates granted to purchasers of lots, which, preceding commissioners have informed me, were taken up when conveyances were executed.

The condition of the funds on the 6th of January, 1834, according to the report of the Commissioner of that date, which appears to be the last one submitted to the Legislative Council, is as follows :

Estimate of the Funds.

	<i>dolls. cts.</i>
Amount of cash on hand,	376 11
Amount of decrees in the Superior and County Courts,	3,987 78
Amount of judgment in the Superior Court against Jesse H. Willis and securities, on account of the late Capitol contract,	2,330 09
Amount of notes given for lots placed in the hands of R. C. Allen for collection, due in this office,	825 41
Amount of notes placed in the hands of the late District Attorney for collection, by virtue of an act of 1832,	\$8,876 98
Received therefrom,	912 91
Five quarter sections of land adjoining Tallahassee reserved by the United States, for the public buildings at Tallahassee, valued at	8,000 00
	<u>23,483 46</u>
To the cash on hand 6th Jan., 1834,	376 11
Add the amount received from the Marshal, 7th Jan., 1834, on account of decrees,	1,768 00
Added by Commissioner to correspond with Treasurer's ac't.	55 00
	<u>\$2,145 57</u>
From this amount deduct disbursements made by said Commissioner, up to 17th Feb. 1834, with which he is credited on the books,	575 42
	<u>1,570 15</u>
From the amount of decrees in the Sup. and County Courts in foregoing estimate,	\$3987 78
Deduct the amount paid by the Marshal on the 7th Jan., 1834, on the decrees,	1,768 91
Leaves due on these decrees and judgments turned over to Alston, Commissioner,	<u>2,218 87</u>

The other items in the estimate appear unchanged in the list of assets turned over to W. Alston, Commissioner, which is as follows:

March, 1834, Assets turned over to new Commissioner.

Amount of decrees in Superior and County Courts,	3,987 78
Deduct amount of sales by the Marshal, under decrees of the Superior Court, 7th Jan., 1834, in part of said decrees,	1,768 91—2,218 87
Amount of R. C. Allen's receipt for collection, the balance to be accounted for by him,	825 41
John K. Campbell's receipt for notes now in suit, in the different courts,	\$8,876 95
Deduct amount received therefrom,	912 91—7964 07
Amount of judgment in Superior Court against Jesse H. Willis and security, tied up under present law,	2,330 09
Five quarter sections of land reserved for the use of the Public buildings adjoining this city, which is estimated to be worth	8,000 00
W. Alston, Commissioner, acknowledges the receipt on 28th June, 1834, from T. R. Betton, his predecessor,	1,570 15
	<u>\$22,908 50</u>

W. Alston has charged himself with the receipt of money for lots.

On lots purchased by persons against whom were judgments and decrees—prin. \$480 26, int. and costs \$131 60	611 86
On lots in N. addition, the notes for which were placed in the hands of J. K. Campbell—prin. 302 37, interest and cost, 107 49,	409 86
Added to cash turned over to him by T. R. Betton,	1,570 15
Makes whole amount with which Alston, Commissioner, has charged himself,	2,591 87
W. Alston has credited himself with disbursements,	1,964 04

Cash balance to be accounted for by W. Alston,

There was due on decrees and judgments, when assets came into the hands of Alston, Commissioner,	\$2,218 87
There was paid to him on account of same,	480 26

Since which nothing has been paid thereon, and this amount is therefore due, (exclusive of interest,) on those decrees and judgments,

1,738 61

For reasons heretofore given, it is impossible to state accurately the persons from whom this is due, or for what lots, they will be exhibited so far as ascertained in statement, A. In the foregoing list of assets is included

a balance to be accounted for by R. C. Allen, of notes placed in his hands for collection since which time, (March, 1834) nothing has been paid thereon, and still remains due exclusive of interest,

825 04

This amount is probably something larger than the principal, for which Allen is accountable.

When his receipt was turned over to J. P. Duval, Commissioner, there was due on it, \$782 17
Two notes, each for \$21 62, were put in his hands for collection, 4th August, 1828, 43 24

825 41

There is a memorandum, 24th April, 1829, that R. C. Allen's receipt is to be credited, 104 65

The amount of principal he is probably accountable for now, \$720 76

In the estimate the amount will be put down as in the list of assets. It is impossible to say whose the notes are, that remain to be accounted for, or for what lots they were given, without a statement from him, which he promised to render, but has not yet come to hand.

The balance due on notes in hands of Campbell, when assets were turned over to Alston, Commissioner, March, 1834, W. Alston received on lots for which those notes were given, and has charged himself with prin. \$302 37

7,904 07

T. Brown has received on lots, for which he has granted deeds of release, according to his report to me 27th Dec. 1839, \$373 16, of which \$219 65 was for principal on account of lots for which those notes were given, \$219 65

523 02

Leaving this balance, (exclusive of interest,) due for notes receipted for by J. K. Campbell,

7,442 05

T. Brown, Trustee, is accountable for money received for lots, for which he has granted deeds of release,

\$373 16

The persons whose notes remain unaccounted for on the receipt of J. K. Campbell, the amount of each, and the lots for which they were given, will be exhibited in statement B. These notes, with few exceptions, were given for lots in the North Addition.

It does not appear from the books or papers in this office, that any moneys were received or disbursed by the late C. Austin, Commissioner, the successor of Alston.

When the records of the office came into the hands of the present Commissioner, no notes were amongst them. All the sums due on account of lots, were on judgments or decrees of the courts, or on notes for which J. K. Campbell, late District Attorney, had given a receipt, nor has the present commissioner made any collections on account of the lots, it being necessary first to go over all the accounts of the office, to ascertain what lots have not been paid for, and the persons owing for them.

The amount remaining due for Lots in the City, and North Addition of Tallahassee.

Balance of cash in hands of W. Alston, late com. to be accounted for,	\$ 627 83
Amount in the hands of Thomas Brown, Trustee, received on account of lots released by him,	373 16
Amount due on judgments and decrees in Superior and County Courts, (see statement A.)	1,738 61
Bal. on R. C. Allen's receipt to be accounted for,	825 41
" J. K. Campbell's [statement B]	7442 05
Making exclusive of interest,	<u>11007 06</u>

An act was passed by the Legislative Council, approved 17th February, 1833, entitled "an act for the relief of Benj. G. Thornton and Jesse H. Willis," and another approved 15th Feb. 1834, referring the judgment against Jesse H. Willis and securities, to an arbitration, and neither the result of which, nor the proceedings under those acts is known to the commissioner.

Estimate of the Fund.

Bal. due for lots in the city of Tallahassee and N. addition,	11007 06
Bal. of appropriation by last Congress, not yet received,	17,000 00
Five quarter sections of land adjacent to the city of Tallahassee, the value of which it is difficult to estimate, but cannot be less than,	30,000 00
	<u>\$58,000 00</u>

All which is respectfully submitted.

[Signed]

C. G. ENGLISH, Commissioner.

Commissioners Office, Tallahassee, 1st January, 1840.

Supplementary Report of the Commissioner of the City of Tallahassee.

Since making out the preceding report and its accompanying statements, it has been ascertained, that although the balance due for lots as represented in them, the persons liable for the balance are in some cases, different from those mentioned, of which the following are instances:

Among the notes placed in the hands of J. K. Campbell, District Attorney, for collection, which remained unaccounted for when his receipt passed into the hands of Alston, Commissioner, were nine notes of T. Cameron, for instalments on lots Nos. 44, 45 and 48, in the N. addition, amounting to 133 05, exclusive of interest. On the 8th of August, 1825, W. Alston, commissioner, made a conveyance of these lots [34, 45, and 48] to R. W. Williams, to whom Cameron had previously conveyed his right, which conveyances are recorded. Tho's Brown moreover acknowledges that \$197 85 for the balance due on the lots, was paid into his hands, which amount was sufficient to cover the principal and interest, and perhaps the cost, yet Alston, the Commissioner, has not charged himself with its receipt, nor made any record of the transaction. The money is still due to the fund, though neither the lots, nor Cameron, the original purchaser, nor the estate of Campbell, may be liable for it.

There remained also, on J. K. Campbell's receipt at that time, nine instalments of R. C. Allen's, being for instalments on lots Nos. 15, 89 and 92 in the north addition, amounting, exclusive of interest, to \$156 96 23, to be accounted for. From the records of the Superior Court, it ap-

pears that Campbell brought suit on the notes for those lots, and obtained judgment in April 1834, and that an execution, issued on the 6th May, 1834, for \$194 53 (which included interest.) The Deputy Marshal acknowledges the receipt of \$194 53, also, interest from the 8th of April to the 24th Sept. 1834, and costs, in case Commissioner vs. Allen. On the back of the execution is a receipt dated 13th April, 1835, from W. Alston to the Deputy Marshal, for \$202 31. Yet Alston has not charged himself with the receipt of the money, nor made any record of the transaction. Neither the lots, nor Allen, the original purchaser, nor Campbell, can be responsible for the amount.

Another case which may be mentioned, is the judgment vs. R. J. Hackley, reported on Justice Bradford's list of judgments in his court, in favor of the Commissioner, for \$29 20 on 9th January, 1833, the note on which this judgment was rendered, was for the first instalment on lot 59, in the north addition. It is the only instalment remaining unpaid for this lot, (59 N. A.) and there is no evidence of its having come into the fund. James Bryan, Jr., Sheriff, on 7th July, 1832, acknowledges the receipt of \$31 27, and has executed a bill of sale, of all Hackley's right, title and interest, to lot No. 58, in the north addition. In the bill it is stated, that the sale was made by virtue of a writ of fieri facias, issued by T. M. Bradford, on 12th March, 1832, and T. R. Betton, then Commissioner, was present, and directed the sale to be made.

It has been ascertained but too recently to be incorporated into the preceding report and accompanying statements, that many of the notes for which J. K. Campbell appears from the records of this office, and is represented in the report and statements to be liable have passed into the hands of the Trustees who gave me the information.

The report and accompanying statements have been prepared principally from the imperfect records of the office, and has cost much labor. The interest of the Territory requires, and that of the claimants and holders of lots, still more urgently requires, that the titles to lots be thoroughly investigated, and that recourse be had to all means of information for the purpose, that are attainable.

At the original sale of the city of Tallahassee, six per cent cash was paid by the purchaser, and his notes for the residue were taken, certificates were issued for the lots, that upon the payment of the balance, the holder of the certificate should be entitled to a deed for the lot.

At the sale in the North Addition, upon the purchaser paying six per cent of the price in cash, and giving his notes for the residue, the lots were conveyed to him, and a deed of trust on the lots taken from him to secure the payment of the notes.

Many of these lots have been sold by the Sheriff to satisfy judgments obtained by the Commissioner on the notes, in some cases before the last instalment on the lot had become due, and the amount realised from the sale, frequently did not satisfy the judgment. Some of the conveyances by the Sheriff purport only to transfer the right of the original purchaser, in these cases there can be no doubt, that the lot itself is liable for the balance which may be due. The only titles of many holders of lots are deeds of conveyance by the Sheriff, and it may admit of question whether the title to any lot is perfect, until the whole amount due for it is paid, and in the original city a deed of conveyance is granted by the commissioner, and in the North addition, a deed of release executed.

The balance now reported as due on account of lots, is what remains unsettled of that item in the estimate of the commissioner in January, 1834. But in making out a lot account, it appears that a balance was

due on some of them, not included in that estimate. The amount is inconsiderable, and as a very prolix statement would be required to exhibit the state of the account for those lots, it has been deemed advisable to omit it.

All which is respectfully submitted.

[Signed] C. G. ENGLISH, Commissioner.
Commissioners Office, Tallahassee, 1st January, 1840.

STATEMENT A.

The Decrees and Judgments remaining unsatisfied.

- I. Decrees in the Superior Court.
- II. Decrees in the Court of Appeals.
- III. Decrees and judgments in the County Court.
- IV. Judgments in Justice Bradford's Court.

I. *Decrees in the Superior Court.*

The following is the copy of the certificate of the Clerk:

A List, of Decrees pronounced by the Superior Court for the Middle District of Florida, Leon county, in favor of the Commissioner of Tallahassee, against sundry individuals.

At what time.	Against whom.	Amount of Decree.	Remarks.	On acct of what lots the notes were given	
April, 1829, Jan. 1830,	James Cameron,	\$146	with interest from 5th Ap'l 1829	15 66 2-3 to com'r. on lot	See page 23, in copy pg.
	Wm. Wyatt,	115 01 1-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l, 1829	No. 18	
	do.	115 01 1-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1829	ots No. 47, 349, 250 & 50	See page 24,
	James Cameron,	130 44 2-3	with interest from 5th Ap'l 1829	paid out 5th Jan. 1820,	See page 23.
	Joseph R. Betton,	287 95 1-3	with interest from 5th Ap'l. 1829	3206 72 including cost.	
	do.	232 18	with interest from 5th Ap'l. 1829	ots 61, 62, 215 paid out	See page 26
	Dorothy Walton,	119 06 2-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1829	\$40 on 222 about \$170.	See page 28
	George & George Walton,	119 06 2-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1829	Lot No. 183 paid out 25th	
April, 1832,	Allen W. Coleman,	93 37 1-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1829	Nov. 1829	See page 29, 30
	Dan'l Stephens, Adm'r.	143 81 1-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1827		See page 27
	do.	143 81 1-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1828		See page 31
	Ambrose Crane,	332 71 2-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1827		See page 32
	do.	285 40 1-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l 1828		
	C. Nicholas, Adm'r.	401 05 1-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1827		See page 25
	do.	401 05 1-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1828		
	Jonathan Robinson,	78 95 1-3	with interest from 6th Ap'l. 1827		
	One decree against A. W. Coleman, omitted.				

I, James S. Linn, Clerk of the Superior Court for the Middle District of Florida, Leon county, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true abstract of all decrees pronounced by said court in favor of said Commissioner of Tallahassee. In witness whereof, I hereunto set my hand and annex the seal of the said court, this 7th day of January 1833.

JAMES S. LINN, Clerk.

The whole amount of decrees in above list, exclusive of interest and Decree against Coleman, \$3,144 92
 The credits on the same including \$62. 66 2-3 on Waltous for Lot 183 455 05 1-3
\$2,689 86 2-3

The payments which have since been made on these decrees will be subsequently stated.

II Decrees in court of Appeals.

The following is the certificate of the Clerk.

The Commissioner, vs. H. M. Brackenridge,	}	Decree Jan. 1830 for \$138 93 1-3 Interest from 6 day of April, 1827.
The same Against the same,	}	Decree Jan. 1830, for 138 93 1-3 Interest from 6th day of April 1828

In the Court of Appeals, Test \$277 96
 Signed, JAS. S. LINN,

The whole amount of these was reported as unpaid, 7th Jan. 1834, and nothing has since been paid thereon, \$277 96

NOTE.—The Commissioner in his estimate of January, 1833, and also of 1834, has deducted from that against Walton, the whole amount paid on lot 183, \$73 83, when the principal alone \$62 66 2-3 should have been deducted. He has made therefore the amount due on these decrees \$11 16 2-3 too little. His estimate has however, been followed in making out this report.

STATEMENT A.

III. Decrees and Judgments in County Court.

A List of all the Judgments or Decrees in Leon County Court, against persons who purchased Lots in the original plan of the City of Tallahassee, which are not fully satisfied.

Commissioner of Tallahassee	Amount of decree, \$95 82 2-3		
Against Allen W. Coleman,	Amount paid, 44 30	\$51 32 2-3	See page 29 & 30, in copy page 18
The same,	Amount of Decree, 56 34		
vs. David Rusk,	Amount paid, 18 00	38 34	See page 34, in copy page 21
Same,			
vs. D. D. Richardson,	Amount of judgment 18 62	18 62	See page 34, in copy page
Same,	Amount of judgement 72 06 2-3		
vs. Robert May,	Amount paid, 62 24 2-3	9 82	See page 35, in copy page 21
Same,	Amount of judgment 65 16 2-3		
vs. H. Myers.	Amount paid 33 00	32 16 2-3	See page 35, in copy page 21
Same,	Amount of judgment 67 00		
vs. John M. Coffin,	Amount paid 50 00	27 00	See page 36, in copy page
Same,	Amount of judgment 85 00		
vs. Ede Van Evour,	Amount paid 70 02	15 92	See page 38, in copy page
Same,	Amount of judgment 45 12		
vs. Samuel Cosby,	Amount paid 29 00	16 12	See page 36, in copy page
Same,	Amount of judgment 99 33		
vs. William Wright,	Amount paid 37 60		See page 37, in copy page
Same,	Amount of judgment 47 02		
vs. A. W. Crews,	Amount paid 20 00	27 02	See page 37, in copy page
Same,			
vs. John D. Edwards,	Amount	102 00	See page 40, in this copy page
Same,			
vs. Elsy Amber,		3854	See page 39, in this copy page

STATEMENT A—Continued.

Same,
 vs. Mary Brown,
 Same,
 vs. G. M. Walestt,
 Same,
 vs. Ede Van Evour,
 Commissioner of Tallahassee,
 vs. Robert Mitchell,

\$31 02 See page 38, in this copy page

115 62 See page 39, in this copy page

100 See page 38, in this copy page

40 73 1-3 See page 40, in this copy page

\$725 07 2

TERRITORY OF FLORIDA, Leon County:

I, Daniel McRaeny, Clerk of the County Court, for the county aforesaid, do certify that the foregoing is a correct statement of all the judgments in Leon County Court, against persons who purchased lots in the original plan of the City of Tallahassee, which are not satisfied, Witness my hand and seal of Office this 11th day of January, 1833.

D. McRAENY, Clk.

IV. Judgments in Justice Bradfords Court.
 Copy of T. M. Bradford, J. P. Certificate.

T. R. Betton, Commissioner,
 vs. David B. Butler,
 The same.
 vs. J. Vanhorn,
 The same,
 vs. W. Cameron,
 The same,
 vs. the same,
 The same,
 vs. John Myrick,

\$48 56	See statement B. page 48, in this copy page.
31 33	See statement B. page 48, in this copy page.
36 03	See statement B. page 48, in this copy page.
21 93	See statement B. page 48, in this copy page.
21 63	See statement B. page 47, in this copy page.

Statement A.

Amount brought over,
 T. R. Betton, Comm'r. vs. John Myrick,
 The same vs. the same,
 The same vs. S. Pilcher,
 The same vs. J. Vanhorn,
 The same vs. R. J. Hackley,

159 48	
159 49 -	
27 90	See statement B. page 47, in this copy page.
35 73 1-2	See statement B. page 47, in this copy page.
24 40	See page 41, in this copy page.
31 33	See statement B. page 48, in this copy page.
28 20	See Report, page 10, in this copy page.

307 04 1-2

Received of A. W. Crews, constable, of the above \$24 64
 Signed, T. R. BETTON.

The above is a list of Judgments, and the amount of each in suit in my Court, January 9, 1833.

T. M. BRADFORD, J. P.

There was due on the above, on 6th January, 1834, when the Commissioner reported	\$282 89 1-2
The Commissioner has put down the whole amount omitting to deduct the credit \$24 16.	\$307 04 1-2
There was therefore due on Decrees and Judgments, in the Superior and County Courts, on the 6th day of January 1834.	
I. Decrees in Superior Court,	\$2,689 86 1-2
II. Decrees in the Court of Appeals,	277 96
III. Decrees and Judgments in the County Court,	725 07 2-3
IV. Judgments in Justice Bradfords Court,	282 88 1-2
	<u>\$3,075 78 2-3</u>

This amount differs from the Commissioners estimate of that date which is thus accounted for:

The amount due on Judgments in Bradfords Court set down too much from omitting to deduct the credit	\$24 16
The amount of Decrees in Superior Court, set down too little from deducting int. paid on lot 183, from amount of Decree	11 16 2-3

The estimate too great by	\$12 99 1-3
Which added to the amount obtained from certificate of clerks,	<u>3,975 78 2-3</u>

Would correspond within \$1 with estimate of 1834, and precisely with estimate of 1833,	<u>\$3,988 78</u>
---	-------------------

Taking the amounts as in estimate of 6th Jan. 1834, disregarding the discrepancy,	\$3,987 78
Deduct amount paid by Marshal on 7th Jan. 1834, on account of decrees in Superior Court,	1,768 91
To W. Alston, Com'r with which he has charged himself on account of same	480 26
	<u>2,240 17</u>

Since which nothing has been paid thereon and this amount remains due,

The decrees or judgments on account of which the payment of \$480 26 were made, will be stated hereafter Those on account of which the payment by the Marshal was made, are not yet fully ascertained. The entry is thus made by the Commissioner.	1,768 91
1834, 7th January; amount received of A. A. Fisher, Deputy Marshal on sundry decrees of the Superior Court being realised from sales and payment made this day in the office,	<u>1,768 91</u>

The records of the court have been examined to ascertain the particular decrees and the amount on each that were embraced in the payment but as yet without success. All that has been found explaining the payment are the following receipts of the Marshal, to persons who purchased lots on the 7th January, 1834, filed with the certificates of lots.

A

To whom the Marshal's receipt is given.	Am't. of receipt.	On lot in original City.	Original Purchaser.
R. K. West,	\$23 00	No. 5 6 21 42 46 116	C. Nicholas, " " " "
C. Heire,	36 00	63 56 57	D. Stephens, A. Crane, "
H. F. Simmons, Alvin Green,	28 00	109 119 129 130 131 132 135 136	C. Nicholas, D. Stephens, A. Crane, " " " " "
R. K. Call,	607 16	137 138 160 203 205 289 313 316 238	" " J. Cameron, C. Nicholas, " " Wm. Wyatt, C. Nicholas, A. P. Crane,

16

A—Continued.

To whom the Marshal's receipt is given.	Am't. of receipt.	On lot in original City.	Original Purchaser.
P. O. Hays,	57 00	No. 243	J. Cameron,
		244	"
		246	"
P. O. Hays,	15 00	245	"
F. Towle,	20 00	314	A. Crane,
F. Towle,	47 00	317	C. Nicholas,
Amount of receipt on file.	<u>\$933 59</u>		

On which of the decrees, and for which lots the residue of the payment of the Marshal on 7th January, 1834, was made, must be determined before it can be precisely ascertained which of the decrees yet remain unsatisfied, and which lots may be liable for them.

Before making a statement of the lots purchased by individuals against whom exist unsatisfied decrees and judgments, it is necessary to mention some payments on account of those lots which have been so vaguely entered that it has not been determined what amount was paid for each individual or on account of what lots. The entries are the following.

A.

1829, 1st June, received of R. Lewis, Sheriff, in full for the following decrees, the commissioner vs. J. Melver, Cromwell Sprague, John Caruthers, D. D. Richardson, Wm. Wright & Co. and John D. Edwards, including interest and cost, \$533 24 1-2

1830, 1st April, received of R. Lewis, Sheriff, the amount of the following decrees, viz: H. and E. Bryan, Wm. P. Young, Sylvester Bill, A. W. Coleman, No. 1, Ticknor and Bill, and Cromwell Sprague, deducting amount before entered on account of Lots 73 and 74. 191 43

To whole amount, \$320 83

By amount of the above entered previously. 129 40
1830, 1st April, Received of R. Lewis, Sheriff, the amount of the following decrees, viz: Ede Van Evour, Coleman, No. 2, Wm. Wright & Co., Robt. May, Saml. Cosby, J. M. Coggin, H. Myers, S. Pitcher, realised from sale of Lots after deducting cost and amount received above

\$85 38

Cr. by am't. entered before 47 07 38 31

1830, 1st April, To amount received of R. Lewis, Sheriff, \$53, for printers fees in 7 cases in Chancery, decrees also \$32 for Auditor's fees, also \$42 25 C. Nicholas Clerks fees, also \$50 Geo. E. Tangles, Clerks fees in 16 cases in county court. 187 25

Even when these payments are said to be in full of decrees, it is clear it was not the whole amount due from the individuals, because subsequent payments were made on their accounts, and at a still later period, the clerk of the court certify that unsatisfied decrees and judgments exist against them. These payments can only be elucidated by scrutinizing the records of the court, the examination of them hitherto not having been successful. All that has been found explanatory of them is the following receipts from the Sheriff to various persons filed with the certificates of lots.

A—Continued.

Date.	To Whom.	Amount.	For lot in original City.	Name of original Purchastrs.
19th July 1829.	C. C. Williams,	90 00	No. 104	Ticknor & Bell,
16th July 1829.	A. S. Thruston,	77 01	114	Ede Van Evour,
13th Ap'l 1829.	Thomas Munro	32 00	198	D. Richardson,
13th Ap'l 1829.	Wm. Kerr,	58 00	201	Wm. Wright & Co.
13th July 1829.	John Levinas,	the amount due	207	Wm. P. Younge,
14th July 1829.	John Levinas,	35 86	208	Wm. P. Younge,
13th July 1829.	John Levinas,	the amount due	209	R. May.
13th Ap'l 1829.	Thomas Munroe,	20 00	272	Wm. Wright & Co.
13th Ap'l 1829.	Thomas Munroe,	20 00	273	Wm. Wright & Co.
13th Mar. 1830.	J. McIver in full of decree against him, dated 29th May, 1829.			

The state of the account for many of these Lots is also uncertain, in consequence of the notes for the first instalment having been placed in the hands of R. C. Allen, and the want of his statement which of the notes constitute the balance to be accounted for by him.

Statement—A.

Unsatisfied decrees in Superior Court against James Cameron, 7th Jan., 1833, for \$260 78.

James Cameron, bought and paid			
1825, April, In Old Town Lots No. 18 for	\$50		
" " " 79	53		
" " " 160	200		
" " " 243	66		
" " " 244	50		
" " " 245	50		
" " " 246	50	519 00	
1829, 16th June, Interest and cost on lot 18.			4 66 2-3

R. Butler, Commissioner.
 Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to successor from amount of sale, \$193 66 2-3
 Turned over to J. P. Duval, Commissioner, notes for 2d and 3d instalments. \$325 33 1-3
 J. P. Duval, Commissioner.
 1828, 15 April, B. D. Faust, amount in full on lot 79. 15 66 2-3
 Turned over to F. R. Betton, in suit in court for 2d and 3d instalments. 293 00

J. R. Betton, Commissioner.
 1829, 16th June, by D. McRaeny, agent for C. Haire, 2d and 3d instalments, interest and cost on lot No. 18, principal \$31 33 1-3, interest and cost 4 66 2-3. 36 00
 The decrees unsatisfied seventh January, 1833, were for \$260 78
 1834, 7th Jan., by A. A. Fisher, deputy marshal, amt not ascertained, (see pages 19 and 20, on copy 12,) the amount paid by the Marshal deducted from the decrees gives the amount now due on decrees, exclusive of interest.

Two instalments, each for \$15 66 2-3, were due on lots No. 79, yet, he has only charged himself with the receipt of one of them, when notes were turned over to J. P. Duval, Commissioner. The certificates of lots 18 and 79 are on file, and have probably been conveyed by the commissioner. The residue of the lots viz. 160, 243, 244, 245, 246, were sold by the Marshal 7th Jan. 1834, as appears by his receipts, (see page 20, 12 on copy.)

Statement—A.

Decrees in Superior Court vs. Wm. Wyatt, unsatisfied, 7th Jan., 1833, for \$23 30 2-3.

Wm. Wyatt, bought and paid	
1835, April, in Old Town Lots, No. 4 for	\$46
“ “	47 36
“ “	50 52
“ “	51 56
“ “	153 73
“ “	156 91
“ “	157 267
“ “	158 } 386
“ “	159 }
“ “	163 186
“ “	164 301
“ “	218 201
“ “	249 81
“ “	250 152
“ “	313 41—
1828, 12th May, Interest on Nos. 153, 156, 157, 158 and 159.	
1st Dec., interest on No. 212.	
20 Jan., Interest on No. 50.	
22 Jan., Interest on 164, and west 1-2 of No. 163.	
8th May, Interest and cost on 164, and west 1-2 of 163.	
20th Aug., Interest and cost on 4, and E. 1-4 of 163.	
5th Jan., Interest and part cost 47, 249 and 250.	
Error in lot 47, the correction Feb. 12, 1833, see note below.	

	R. Butler, Commissioner.	
1,969 00	Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to his successor, from amount of sale but excluding any payment on notes for 1st instalment in hands of R. C. Allen.	748 67
	Notes for 1st instalment placed in hands of R. C. Allen, for	\$241 33
	Notes for 2d and 3d instalments turned over to J. P. Duval, commissioner.	979 00 1-3
	T. R. Betton, Commissioner.	
	1823, 12 May, by L. A. Ervine, in full on lots 153, 156, 157, 158, 159 with interest, prin. \$255 99 1-3.	257 58
	Turned over to J. R. Betton, notes for 2d and 3d instalment.	720 54 1-2
	T. R. Betton, Commissioner.	
16 83 2-3	1828, 12 Dec., by Fisher 2d and 3d instalments and interest in full on lot 212, principal \$125 29 1-3, interest 16 83 2-3.	142 13
2 55	1829, 20 Jan., by Miller the 2d and 3d instalments and interest in full on lot 50, prin. \$32 58 2-3, interest 2 55.	35 13 2-3
13 43 2-3	1829, 22 Jan., by Wyatt 2d instalment and interest on lot 164, the west 1-2 of lot 163, in full for 2d instalment, principal \$123 45 1-3, interest 13 43 2-3.	136 89
10 01 2-3	1829, 8th May, by Ritchie 3d instalment interest and cost on lot 164, and west 1-2 of 163, principal 123 45 1-3, interest 13 43 2-3.	133 47
12 56 1-3		
38 14 2-3		
10 00		

Statement A—Continued.

1829, 20th Aug., by Duval, on lot No. 4, and East 1-2 of 163 in full including interest and cost, (principal \$87 10 2-3, interest and cost 12 56 1-3.)
 1830, 5th Jan., by Wyatt in full for 2d and 3d instalments on lots 249, 250 and 47, including interest and part cost, principal \$168 57 1-3, interest and cost 38 14 2-3.

99 67

206 72

There was decree in superior court unsatisfied on Jan., 1833, for \$23 30 2-3
 1834, Jan., by A. A. Fisher, deputy marshal, on decree amount not ascertained, see p. 19, 20, in copy p. 11, 12, since which nothing has been paid. The payment by Marshal deducted from decree gives amount now due on decrees.

If any thing is still due for 1st instalment, it must be balance of notes in hands of R. C. Allen.
 The certificates of lots Nos. 4, 50, 51, 153, 156, 157, 158, 159, 163, 164, 212, 249 and 250, are on file and the lots appear to have been conveyed by the commissioner.

Lot 313 was sold by the Marshal on 7th Jan. 1834, as appear by his receipt, (see page 2, 12 of copy.)
 The certificate of lot No. 47, is also on file, and endorsed on it, is "conveyed to Peter Ulrich, 5th Jan., 1830." The commissioner states however, that it had not been conveyed. The entry is a credit allowed by the Legislative Council.
 1833, 12th Feb., by this amount credited the fund as received of Win. Wyatt, 5th Jan., 1830, on lot 47, deed to Peter Ulrich.—
 The said deed was left in the hands of commissioner until payment was made, but never called for by said Ulrich, and the balance of \$10 never paid in the office, but the full amount against said lot was charged to the commissioner at the above mentioned.—
 (The said deed held until payment is made.)

Statement—A.

Decree in Superior Court, against Jonathan Robinson, unsatisfied, 7th Jan., 1833, for \$78 95 1-3.

Jonathan Robinson, bought and paid			
1835, April, In Old Town Lots, No. 3 for \$45			
"	"	25	71
"	"	48	26
"	"	82	85
"	"	254	55—
			282 00

R. Butler, Commissioner.
 Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over from amount of sale. 172 11-3

Notes turned over to J. P. Duval, commissioner, for 2d and 3d instalments. \$109 88 2-3

J. P. Duval, Commissioner. 26 89

1828, 10th June, by Faust last instalment on lot 82. Turned over to T. R. Betton, commissioner, notes for 2d and 3d instalments. 83 26

J. R. Betton, Commissioner.
 Decree in superior court against Robinson, unsatisfied, 7th Jan., 1833, for \$78 95 1-3

That amount is still due exclusive of interest, unless part of the payment by deputy marshal on 7th Jan., 1834, was on account of this decree, (see pages 19, 20, in copy 11 and 12.)

23

The certificate of lot 82 is on file, and appears to have been conveyed by commissioner. No evidence is in this office, that the residue of these lots have been conveyed by the commissioner. J. P. Duval turned over to Betton notes for \$83 26, and although he has charged himself with nothing received on account of Robinson up to Jan., 1833, he then reports only the amount of the decree remaining due.

Statement A—Continued.

Decrees in Superior Court against Joseph R. Betton, unsatisfied, 7th Jan., 1833, for \$350 13 1-3.

	J. R. Betton, bought and paid		
1825, April, In Old Town Lots, No. 61 for \$32		62	36
" " "		214	116
" " "		215	110
" " "		220	100
" " "		221	175
" " "		222	350—919

R. Butler, Commissioner.	
Amount ascertained by deducting amount returned over to successor from amount of sales, excluding payment by Allen if any on notes, for 1st instalment.	\$137 30
Notes for 1st instalment placed in hands of R. C. Allen for collection.	\$268 92
Notes for 2d and 3d instalments turned over to J. P. Duval, commissioner, for	514 77 1-3
J. P. Duval, Commissioner.	
1828, 2d June, by I. G. Searcy, last instalment on lot 215.	34 40
Turned over to J. R. Betton, commissioner, notes for 2d and 3d instalments.	480 36
J. R. Betton, Commissioner.	
1828, 5th Dec., by M. Hagin, 3d instalment and interest in full on lots No. 61 and 62, principal \$21, interest 1 34.	22 34
2d March, by R. Fisher in part payment of 2d instalment on lot 222.	40 00
There were decrees against Betton unsatisfied 7th Jan., 1833, for	\$350 13 1-3
Which was less than the amount then due on his lots 369 23 2-3, part of the payment by D. Marshall, on 7th Jan., 1834, may have been on account of decrees against Betton, (see page 19 and 20, 11 & 12 on copy.)	

Lots 61, 62, and 215 have been paid for, and the certificates of them are on file, as are also the certificates of lots 220, 221, & 222. The following endorsement is made on the certificate of lot 222. Nos. 214, 220, 221, and 222, deeded to R. C. Allen, 14th January, 1834. The amount due for them however has not been paid, unless part of the payment by the deputy marshal, 7th January, 1834, was on account of them, (see page 19 and 20, in copy 11 and 12.)

If any thing is still due on the notes for 1st instalment, it must be part of the balance in hands of R. C. Allen.

Statement A--Continued.

Decrees in Superior Court against Daniel Stephens, unsatisfied, 7th Jan., 1833, for \$287 62

Daniel Stephens, bought and paid
 1825, April In old town, lots No. 63, for \$51
 65 " 83
 99 " 64
 119 " 54
 270 " 75
 271 " 105
 277 " 91
 295 " 51
 320 " 81
 1829, 24th June, interest and cost on lot 99

R. Butler, Commissioner.
 Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to his successor from amount of sale, but excluding any payment which may have been made on note for 1st instal't by Allen \$228 77
 Note for 1st instalment placed in hands of R. C. Allen, for collection

\$102 64-3

Turned over to J. P. Duval, Commissioner, notes for 2 and 3 instalments, who passed the same to J. R. Betton, Commissioner,

\$323 59-14

655-

7 24

1829, 24th June, by W. Mason, 2d and 3d instalments, interest and cost \$7 24)

T. R. BETTON, Com'r.

\$46 90 2-3

There were unsatisfied decrees in Superior Court 7th January, 1833, for 1834, 7th January, by Fisher, D. M. on account of decrees, amt not ascertained. (See page 19 and 20, page 11 and 12 in copy.) The amount paid by Deputy Marshal, deducted from amount of decrees, will give the amount due, exclusive of interest, on the 2d and 3d instalments when passed to the hands of W. Alston, Commissioner.

\$287 62

No date, by T. Brown, on lots 270 and 271, sold by Marshal, 7th January, 1834, being part of a payment of \$225 01 If any thing is due on 1st instalment it is part of balance in hands of R. C. Allen.

W. ALSTON, Com'r.

\$92 65

The certificates of lots 99, 295, and 320, are filed, and the lots have probably been conveyed by Commissioner. Lots 63, 119, 270 and 271, were sold by the Marshal 7th January, 1834, (see page 19 and 20, on copy page 11 and 12) There is no evidence in this office that lots 65 and 277, have been conveyed by the Commissioner.

A—Continued.

Decrees in Superior Court, against George and Dorothy Walton, for \$175 46 2-3

D. Walton, bought and paid
1825, April, in old town, lot No. 43, for \$100
44 " 80
45 " 100
183 " 100
1829
25th Nov. interest and cost on lot 183.

\$380

11 16 1-3

R. Buder, Commissioner.
The amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to successor from amount of sale not including any payment which may have been made by R. Allen on notes for 1st instalments; \$22 80

Notes for 1st instalments placed in the hands of R. C. Allen, \$119 06 2-3

Notes for 2d and 3d instalments turned over to J. P. Duval, Com'r who passed the same to his successor
J. R. Betton, Com'r. \$238 13 1-3

1829, 25th Nov. by R. Lewis, 2d and 3d instalment, interest and cost on lot No. 183, (principal \$62 66 2-3, interest and cost, \$11 16 1-3, \$73 83

Decrees unsatisfied against Walton in Superior Court, 7th Jan. 1834, for \$175 46 2-3

Which amount is still due on 2d and 3d instalments, exclusive of interest, unless part of the payment by the Deputy Marshal on 7th January, 1834, was on account of these decrees, (see page 19 and 20, page 11 of copy). If any of the 1st instalment is still due it must be part of balance in hands of R. C. Allen.

The certificate of lot 183 is on file, and it appears to have been paid for, and conveyed. There is no evidence in this office, that the commissioner has conveyed the residue of these lots (43, 44, and 45.)

Statement—A.

Decrees against A. W. Coleman, unsatisfied, Jan. 1833, for \$144 70 and one decree omitted.

A. W. Coleman, bought and paid			R. Butler,		
1825, April, in old town, lot No. 11 for 35					Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to his successor from amount of sale, but excluding any payment which may have been made by R. C. Allen on first instalment,
	12	" 30			\$146 55 1-3
	71	" 170			Notes for 1st instalments placed in the hands of R. C. Allen for collection,
	72	" 130			\$190 81
	73	" 81			Turned over to J. P. Duval, Com'r, notes for 2d and 3d instalments, who passed the same to his successor J. R. Betton, Commissioner.
	74	" 100			\$512 30 1-3
	04	" 76 66			T. R. BETTON, Com'r.
	140	" 80			1829, 23d March, by D. McRaeny, 3d instalment on lot 194, in full (principal 24 13 2-3 interest and cost \$1 93 1-3) being part of payment of 55 44, the residue being for lot 20 26 07
	141	" 62			23d July, by McMullin, payment in full on lots 73 and 74, interest and cost (principal 56 71 1-3 interest and cost 10 18 2-3.
	248	" 55	\$849 66 2-3		\$66 90
	275	" 80	1 93 1-3		16th Sept. by D. McRaeny the amount of 2d instalment due on lot 94 including all cost and interest, (principal 24 13 2-3, interest and cost 4 11 1-3
1829, 23 March, interest and cost on lot 94			10 18 2-3		28 25
22d July, " " " 73 and 74			4 11 1-3		By McMullin, amount of 3d instalment, interest and cost on lots Nos. 73 and 74, (principal 56 71 1-3 interest and cost 5 80 2-3)
16th Sept " " " 74			5 80 2-3		62 52
" " " 73 and 74			23 62		By J. and T. R. Betton, 2d and 3d instalments due on lots 71 and 72 in full, (principal 188, interest and cost 23 62)
" " " 71 and 72					211 62
					1830, 1st April, by R. Lewis (two payments) in part of sundry decrees the amount on account of Cole,

Statement A—Continued.

man, not ascertained (see page 21 and page 13)	2 in copy \$93 37 1-3
Three decrees against Coleman unsatisfied Jan. 1833, for	51 32 2-3

144 70

And one decree omitted, amount unknown
Part of payment by Deputy Marshal on 7th January, 1834, may have been on account of Coleman.

No date by T. Brown on lot 140, sold by Marshal under decree of Court on 7th Jan. 1834, being a part of a payment of
\$225 01

W. ALSTON, Com'r.
\$83 16

A—Continued.

From which it appears that A. W. Coleman bought lots amounting to		\$849 66 2-3
He paid cash on the amount to R. Butler	\$45 45 2-3	
And gave notes for the three instalments,	804 21	849 66 2-3
On note for 1st instalment due 6th April, 1826, for	77 28	268 09
There was paid to R. Butler, Com'r.		
Placed in the hands of Allen for collection, of which it is uncertain what amount is still due	190 81	268 09
On notes for 2d and 3d instalments due April 1827 and '28 each for 268 06		536 12
There was paid to R. Buller, Com'r.	23 81-3	
The residue of the notes for these instalments passed into hands of T. R. Betton, Com'r.	512 30 1-3	536 12
On the notes for 2d and 3d instalments which came into Bettons hands,	512 30 1-3	
There was paid previous to 1st April 1830, to Betton Com'r. for principal	349 70	162 60 1-3
Leaving due on that date		
1st April, 1830, R. Lewis, Sheriff, paid on sundry decrees the amount on account of Coleman not stated, (see page 21 and 22 of original or page 13 of this copy.)		
These were decrees against Coleman unsatisfied in January, 1833, for	\$144 70	
Also one decree omitted, amount not stated.		
Part of payment by deputy Marshal on 7th Jan. 1834, may have been on acc't of these decrees		
A payment made Alston, Com'r date not given, on lot 140,	38 16	
The balance due for 2d and 3d instalments is therefore undetermined.		
Lots Nos. 71, 72, 73, 74 and 94 have been paid for in full, unless something is due on the 1st instalment for them in the hands of R. C. Allen, and lot No. 140 appears to have been sold by deputy Marshal on 7th Jan. 1834. These lots are on file.		
There is no evidence in this office that lots Nos. 11, 12, 141, 248 and 275, have been conveyed by the Commissioner.		

Decrees in Superior Court against A. Crane, unsatisfied, 7th Jan., 1833, for \$618 12.

Statement—A.

1825, April, In	A. Crane, bought		
"	Old Town Lots, No. 17 for	\$60	
"	"	27	56
"	"	30	52
"	"	56	25
"	"	57	31
"	"	84	33
"	"	110	60
"	"	118	80
"	"	122	154
"	"	129	49
"	"	130	55
"	"	131	45
"	"	132	32
"	"	135	72
"	"	136	51
"	"	137	45
"	"	138	78
"	"	238	78
"	"	251	61
"	"	286	81
"	"	314	50—
1829, 17th April, Interest and cost on lot No. 110			
1830, 18th June, "			
16th June, "			
10th Nov., "			
1831, 1st Jan., "			
1834, 8th June, "	S. 1-2 of		
	"		

Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to his successor from amount of sales.	\$460 78
Turned over to Duval commissioner, the notes for 2d and 3d instalments,	\$791 22
And by Duval to Betton, commissioner,	805 13 1-3
T. R. Betton, Commissioner.	
1829, 17th April, by W. Ker, 2d and 3d instalments interest and cost on lot 110, (prin. 37 60, interest and cost 4 40.	
18th June, by Willis and Munroe, 2d and 3d instal'ts. interest and cost on lot 84, (prin. \$20 66 2-3, interest and cost 4 33 1-3	42 00
16th, by McRaeny, 2d and 3d instalments, interest and cost on lot 17, (prin. 37 60, interest and cost 4 40.	25 00
10th Nov., by Cooper, 2d and 3d instalments, interest and cost on lot 286, (prin. 50 76, interest and cost 1 06.	42 00
1831, 1st Jan., by Hayward, 2d and 3d instalments on south half of lot 122, with interest and cost, (prin. 48 25 1-3, interest and cost 6 74 2-3.	51 82
There was a decree vs. Crane, unsatisfied 7th Jan, 1833, for	55 00
1834, 7th Jan., by D. Marshal, on account of decree amount not ascertained, see page 19 and 20 of original, and page 8 of this copy.	618 12
W. Alston, Commissioner.	
By T. Brown, on lots 27 and 30 sold under decree 7th Jan., 1834, being part of a payment of 225 01.	10 00

A—Continued.

1834, 28th June, by Padrick in full on lot 251, (prin. 38 22 2-3, interest and cost 15 55 2-3.	\$53 78
The amount paid by Marshal on the 7th Jan. 1834, and the principal paid to Alston, deducted from de- crees unsatisfied Jan. 1833, gives the amount now due exclusive of interest.	

There is a memorandum, by R. Butler, commissioner, that he had conveyed lot 57 to E. L. Lane, which conveyance was previous to Jan. 1823, but there is a receipt from the deputy marshal, for a payment made on this lot, sold under decree of superior court, 7th Jan. 1834. The certificates of lots 17, 84, 110, 251, 286, are on file, and have been paid for and conveyed also the S. 1-2 of lot 122.

Lots 27, 30, 56, 57, 129, 130, 131, 132, 135, 136, 137, 138, 238, and 314, were sold by the Marshal under decree of the Superior Court, on 7th Jan., 1834.

There is no evidence in this office that lot 118 or N. half of lot 122 have been conveyed.

Statement—A.

Decrees in the Superior Court against C. Nicholas, unsatisfied, 7th Jan., 1934, for \$802 10 2-3.

Cary Nicholas, bought and paid
1825, April, in Old Town Lots, No. 1 for \$100

"	"	2	100
"	"	5	50
"	"	6	50
"	"	21	30
"	"	42	45
"	"	46	70
"	"	64	100
"	"	66	100
"	"	70	120
"	"	119	75
"	"	116	100
"	"	182	80
"	"	191	45
"	"	199	50
"	"	202	300
"	"	203	200
"	"	205	100
"	"	252	75
"	"	253	60
"	"	276	50
"	"	278	90
"	"	281	45

R. Butler, Commissioner.

Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to his successor from the amount of sale, excluding any payment which may have been made by Allen, on notes for 1st instalment.

\$751 23 1-2

Notes for 1st instalment placed in the hands of R. C. Allen, for collection.

164 44 1-2

Notes for 2d and 3d instalments turned over to J. P. Duval, Commissioner.

1,526 32

J. P. Duval, Commissioner.

1828, 29th April, Rhodes 2d and 3d instalments in full on lot 285, being for prin. 37 66, int. 1 30.

38 90

14th June, by Thornton, 1st 2d and 3d instal. in full on lots 66 and 70, prin. 206 80, int. 14 85.

221 65

Turned over for 2d and 3d instalments notes to J. R. Betton, Commissioner.

1350 86

J. R. Betton, Commissioner.

1829, 7th March, by Bond 2d and 3d instalments, int. and cost on lots 1 and 2, prin. 125 33 1-3, interest and cost 11 66 2-3.

137 00

29th April, by Ker, 2d and 3d instalments, int. and cost on lot 182, prin. 50 13 1-3, int. cost 5 48 2-3.

55 62

8th May, by Ritchie, 1-2 the 1st the 2d and 3d instalments, and cost on lot 199, prin. 39 16 2-3, int. and cost 5 41 1-3.

44 58

20th Aug. by Duval, in full on lots 202, 278, 232, prin. 317 72, int. and cost 40 92.

358 64

20th Aug., by Duval, in full on lot 281, prin. 28 20, int. and cost 5 12.

33 32

Statement A—Continued.

"	"	282	\$117		1829, 7th Sep., by Campbell, 2d and 3d instalments,	
"	"	285	60		interest and cost on lot No. 191, prin. 28 20, inter-	
"	"	289	100		est and cost 5 92.	\$34 12
"	"	301	30		There were decrees in superior court unsatisfied, 7th	
"	"	316	50		Jan. 1834,	802 10 2-3
"	"	317	50—	2442 00	1834, 7th Jan., on account of decrees by marshal, am't	
1828, 29th April, interest on lot 285				1 30	not ascertained, (see page 19 and 20 of original, on	
1828, 14th June,	"	66 and 70		14 85	11 of copy.)	
1829, 7th March, int. and cost on lots 1 and 2,				11 66 2-3	The payment by marshal deducted from unsatisfied	
29th April,	"	182		5 48 2-3	decrees, gives the amount turned over to Alston,	
8th May,	"	199		5 41 1-3	Commissioner.	
20th August,	"	202, 278 and 282		40 92	W. Alston, Commissioner.	
"	"	281		5 12	No date by T. Brown, on lots 252, 253 and 276, sold	
7th Sept.,	"	191		5 92	by marshal, under decree of court, on 7th Jan. 1834,	84 00
					being part of a payment of \$225 01.	55

Since which, nothing has been paid and the amount paid Alston, Commissioner, for principle deducted from amount turned over to him, gives amount now due on decrees exclusive of interest and exclusive of balance for 1st instalment, which may be in the hands of R. C. Allen.

Lots 1, 2, 66, 70, 182, 191, 199, 202, 278, 281, 282 and 285, appear to have been paid for in full and conveyed. Lots 5, 6, 21, 42, 46, 109, 116, 203, 205, 252, 253, 276, 289, 316 and 317, were sold by the marshal under decrees of court on 7th Jan. 1834. There is no evidence in this office, that lots 64 and 301 have been conveyed by the Commissioner.

Statement—A.

Decree in County Court against David Rusk, unsatisfied, 11th Jan., 1833, for \$98 34.

David Rusk, bought and paid
 1825, April, In Old Town, lot No. 31 for \$62
 " " " 32 42—

\$104 00

Robert Butler, Commissioner.

Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to his successor from amount of sale, but excluding any payment which may have been made on notes for 1st instalment, by R. C. Allen.

\$6 5

Notes for 1st instalment, placed in hands of R. C. Allen, for collection. \$32 58 2-3

Notes for 2d and 3d instalments, turned over to Duval, Commissioner, passed to Betton, Commissioner. 65 16 2-3

T. R. Betton, Commissioner.

1830, 1st April, by R. Lewis, Sheriff, on account of decrees amount not ascertained (see pages 21 and 22, pages 12 and 13 of this copy.)

A decree in county court unsatisfied, January, 1833, for 32 16 2-3

If any thing is due on first instalment, it must be part of balance in hands of R. C. Allen.

The decree is all of the 2d and 3d instalments unsatisfied. Lots Nos. 196, 197, 293, appear to have been conveyed by the commissioner, and the certificates are on file, lot 193 was sold by the Sheriff, 13th July, 1839, (see pages 21 and 22, in this copy pages 12 and 13.)

Statement—A.

Judgment in County Court against Robert May, unsatisfied, 11th Jan., 1833, for \$9 82.

	R. May, bought and paid	
1825, April, In Old Town, Lots Nos. 209 for \$45		
" " " 211	135	
" " " 274	71—	

	R. Butler, Commissioner.	
	Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to successor from amount of sale. \$128 79 1-2	
251 00	Turned over notes for 2d and 3d instalments to J. P. Duval, Commissioner, for \$122 50 1-2	
	J. P. Duval, Commissioner.	
	1828, 22 June, by Whitehurst, in full on lot 211. 50 88	
	Turned over to Betton, notes for 72 07	
	J. R. Betton, Commissioner.	
	1830, 1st April, B. R. Lewis, Sheriff, amount not ascertained, (see pages 21 and 22 of original, and pages 12 and 13 of this copy.	
	A judgement against May, in county court unsatisfied, 11th Jan., 1833. 9 82	

Lot 211 appears to have been paid for in full, and to have been conveyed by Commissioner. Lot 209 was sold by the Sheriff, on the 13th July, 1829. There is no evidence in this office that lot 274, has been conveyed by Commissioner.

Statement A.

Judgment in County Court against H. Myers, unsatisfied, 11th Jan., 1833, for \$32 16 2-3.

H. Myers, bought and paid
1825, April, In Old Town, Lot Nos. 29 for \$62
" " " 133 42—

104 00	R. Butler, Commissioner. Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to his successor from amount of sale, excluding any payment which may have been made on notes for 1st instalment by R. C. Allen.	\$6 25
	Notes for 1st instalment placed in the hands of R. C. Allen.	32 58 1-3
	Turned over to Duval, Com'r. who passed to Betton, Com'r. notes for 2d and 3d instalments.	65 16 1-3
	T. R. Betton, Commissioner. 1830, 1st April, by R. Lewis, Sheriff, amount not as- certained, (see pages 21 and 22 of original, and 12 and 13 of this copy.)	21 22
	A judgment against Myers, in County Court unsatis- fied, 7th Jan. 1833, for	32 16 2-3

There is no evidence in this office, that lots 29 and 133 have been conveyed by Commissioner, nor any other evidence that they have been sold by the Sheriff, other than the payment on 1st April, 1830.

Statement A.

Judgment in County Court against John M. Coggin, unsatisfied. 11th Jan., 1833, for \$32 16-23.

J. M. Coggin, bought and paid
1825, April, In Old Town, Lots Nos. 83 for \$52
" " " 145 55—

107 00

R. Butler, Commissioner.

Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over
to successor from amount of sales.

\$40 00

Turned over to Duval, Com'r. notes for 2d and 3d in-
stalments, J. P. Duval, passed same to J. R. Betton,
Commissioner. 67 00

T. R. Betton, Commissioner.

1830, 1st April, by R. Lewis, Sheriff, amount not as-
certained, (see pages 21 and 22, 12 and 13 in copy.
A judgment was in County Court vs. Coggin, unsat-
isfied, Jan., 1833. 27 00

Since which nothing has been paid.

There is no evidence in this office that lots 83 and 145 have been conveyed by the Commissioner, nor any other of their hav-
ing been sold by the Sheriff, than the payment on the 1st of April, 1830,

Statement A.

Judgement in County Court against Samuel Cosby, unsatisfied, 11th Jan., 1833, for \$16 12.

S. Cosby, bought and paid
 1825, April, in Old Town, Lots No. 101 for \$75
 " " " 102 100
 " " " 152 72—

247 00

R. Butler, Commissioner.

Amount ascertained by deducting amount of notes
 turned over to successor from amount of sale, ex-
 cluding any payment which may have been made on
 notes for 1st instalment, by R. C. Allen.

\$172 09

Notes for 1st instalment, placed in hands of R. C.
 Allen. 21 68

Turned over the notes for 2d and 3d instalments to
 J. P. Duval, Com'r. who passed them to T. R. Bet-
 ton, Com'r. 53 23

J. R. Betton, Commissioner.

1830, 1st April, by R. Lewis, Sheriff, amount not as-
 certained, (see page 21 and 2, on this copy pages
 12 and 13) There was in County Court, unsatis-
 fied, in January, 1833, a judgment for 16 12
 Since which nothing has been paid.

Lots Nos. 101 and 102 appear to have been paid for and conveyed. There is no evidence in this office that lot 152, has been,
 nor any other evidence that it has been sold by the Sheriff, than the payment 1st April, 1830.

Statement—A.

Judgment in County Court, unsatisfied 11th Jan. 1833, against William Wright and company, for \$61 73.

Wm. Wright & Co., bought and paid				
1825, April, in old town, lot No. 201, for	\$65	00		
" " " " 204 "	102			
" " " " 272 "	50			
" " " " 273 "	37			
" " " " 280 "	45			
<hr/>				
1829, 29th May, interest and cost on lot 204				

		R. B. Butler, Commissioner.
		Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to his successor from amount of sale, \$118 34
		Turned over to Duval, Com'r. and by him passed to T. R. Betton, Com'r. notes for 2d and 3d instalments, \$198 66
317 00		T. R. BETTON, Com'r.
9 47	1829, 29th of May, by Pindar, amount in full on lot 204 price 37 60, interest and cost 9 47,	47 07
	1st June, by R. Lewis, Sheriff, amount not ascertained, see pages 21 and 22—13 and 14 in this copy.	
	1830, 1st April, by R. Lewis, Sheriff, amount not ascertained, see pages 21 and 22 (13 and 14 in this copy.	
	A judgment vs. Wright and Co. in County Court, unsatisfied, Jan. 1833,	\$61 73
	Since which nothing has been paid.	

Although notes for the 2d and 3d instalments on lot 204 were turned over to Betton, Com'r. in Dec. 1833, he has only charged himself with the receipt of one of them in May, 1829, and makes the entry in full of the lot.

Lot 204 has probably been conveyed by the Commissioner. Lots 272, 273 and 201, were sold by the Sheriff, 13th April, 1829. No evidence is in this office, that lot 280 has been conveyed by the Commissioner, or sold to satisfy the judgment.

Statement A.

Judgment in County Court against A. W. Crews, unsatisfied, 11th Jan. 1833. for \$27 02.

A. W. Crews, bought and paid
1825, April, in Old Town, Lots No. 142 for \$37

" " 143 37
" " 226 76—

1828, 20th Aug. Int. and costs on lot 226

Note lot 226 has been conveyed by commissioner, the certificate is on file.

There is no evidence in this office that lots 142 and 143, have been conveyed by commissioner.

150 00
1 17 1-3

R. B. Butler, Commissioner.

Amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over from amount of sale, excluding payment which may have been made by R. C. Allen, on notes for 1st instalment.

\$55.96

Notes for 1st instalment placed in hands of R. C. Allen.

\$23 18 1-3

Turned over to J. P. Duval, Com'r. notes for 2d and 3d instalments.

70 85 2-3

J. P. Duval, Commissioner.

1823, 20 Aug., by Harley, in full on lot 226, (price 23 18, int. &c. 1 17 1-3.

24 36

Turned over to Betton, notes for 2d and 3d instalments.

47 66

T. R. Betton.

Charges himself with nothing received on account of crews.

A judgment vs. Crews, unsatisfied, Jan. 1833, (this not all then due,) for

27 02

Since which nothing has been paid thereon.

Statement A

Judgments and decrees in County Court, against Ede Van Evour, for \$115 02.

E. Van Evour, bought and paid on 1825, April, in Old Town, Lots No. 78 for \$100
 " " " 114 92
 " " " 150 50

The decree filed in Chancery alleges that Van Evour had not paid the 6 per cent on lot 78, or given his note for the instalments upon it. It is probable that he paid the 6 per ct. within 2cts on the whole amount of the lots \$14 50. That by inadvertence this amount was deducted from the costs of lots 114 and 152.

And the remainder was divided into three equal parts for the instalments 42 50, which would correspond exactly with the notes turned over to J. P. Duval, Commissioner.

All these lots 78, 114 and 150, appear to have been paid for. But the following circumstances are remarkable. The certificate of lot 114 is on file and endorsed on it is R. Lewis, Sheriff's receipt to A. S. Thruston for 77 01, and that the lot was conveyed to Thruston, on 17th July, 1829, yet a payment was made subsequently on this lot 10th Oct. 1835, (see pages 21 and 22, 13 and 14 in this copy.)

R. B. Butler, Commissioner.
 Probably 14 50 cash payment, and 42 50 for 1st instalment on lots 114 and 150.

Turned over to J. P. Duval, Com'r. who passed to T. R. Betton, Com'r. notes for 2d and 3d instal's on lots 114 and 150.

T. R. Betton, Commissioner.
 1830, 1st April, by R. Lewis, Sheriff, the amount not ascertained, see pages 21 and 22, 13 and 14 in this copy.

Turned over to W. Alston, Com'r. judgment unsatisfied, for balance on lots 114 and 150.
 Decree for whole amount of lot 78

W. Alston, Commissioner.
 1835, 10th Oct. by Williams on lot 78 and bal on lots Nos. 114 and 150.

\$57 00
 85 00
 15 02
 100 00
115 02
 217 09

Statement A.

Decree in County Court vs. Mary Brown, unsatisfied, 11th Jan. 1833, for \$31 02.

This is the only mention in the records of any claim vs. Mary Brown.* No note against her is on the list of notes turned over by preceding Commissioners. The sale list makes no mention of her having purchased any lot, nor is it ascertained whether any lot is liable for the claim.

* In the bill filed in Chancery, it is stated that the claim vs. Brown was for instalments on lot 84, which on sale list is in the name of Crane.

42

Statement A

Decree against G. M. Walcott, in County Court, unsatisfied, 11th Jan. 1833, for \$115 62.

G. M. Walcott bought and paid
 1825, April, in old town, lot No. 186, for \$100 00
 306, " 23 00 \$123 00
 1829, 20th August, cost and interest on lot 186 11 83 1-3

R. Butler, Commissioner.
 Ascertained by deducting notes turned over to successor from amount of sale, excluding any payment which may have been made, on notes for 1st instalment by R. C. Allen, \$43 89
 Notes for 1st instalment placed in R. C. Allen's hands with this amount due \$8 15
 Turned over to J. P. Duval, Com'r. notes for 2d and 3d instalments which he passed to T. R. Betton, 70 96

T. R. BETTON, Com'r.
 1829, 20th August, by Duval, for lot 186, (price 62 66 2-3 cost and interest 11 88 1-3 74 55

A decree in County Court against Walcott, unsatisfied 11th January, 1833, since which nothing has been paid \$115 62. Both of these lots 186 and 306, have been conveyed by Com'r. How a decree came to exist vs. Walcott unsatisfied 11th Jan. 1833 for 115 62 is not ascertained. That amount is the whole cost of the lots after deducting the 6 per cent. But it is clear that \$31 33 had been paid previously to Jan. 1828. And that 62 66 2-3 had been paid on 20th August 1829. So that even if the balance of note for 1st instalment, placed in Allen's hand is unpaid, the whole amount for principal due on 11th January, 1833, was \$116 44 1-3.

Statement—A.

Decree against Robert Mitchell in County Court unsatisfied 11th Jan. 1833, for \$40 73 1-3.

By the records of the Court it appears that Mitchell was Exec. of Overton, and the decree was on account of lots bought by Overton.

S R Overton bought,
1825, April, in old town, lot 302 for \$26
" " " 303 37
" " " 307 37
" " " 305 30— 130 00

Paid R Butler, com'r.
The amount ascertained by deducting notes turned over to successor from amount of sale, \$89 26 2-3
Note for 3d instalment turned over to Duval, com'r, and by him to Betton com'r, for 40, 73 1-3.

Note. There is no evidence in this office, that any of these notes have been conveyed by the commissioner.

T R Betton, com'r.
The decree vs. Mitchell Ex'r, unsatisfied 11th January, 1833, for 40 73 1-3
Since which nothing has been paid, and is the whole amt exclusive of interest.

Statement A.

Decree in Court of Appeals against Henry M. Brackenridge for \$277 96.

H M Brackenridge bought,
1825, April, In old town, lot No 231 for 100
279 " 50
297 " 40
298 " 75
299 " 50
300 " 50
230 " 80— \$445 00

Paid Robert Butler, Commissioner,
Amt. ascertained by deducting notes turned over to successor,
from amt of sale excluding any payment which may have been
made on notes for first instalment by R C Allen, \$36 70
Notes for first instal. placed in hands of R Callen, 136 19
Notes for 2d and 3d instal. turned over to Duval, Com'r and by
him passed to Betton, com'r. 272 20
T R Betton, com'r. Decrees were in court of Appeals vs.
Brackenridge, unsatisfied Jan 1833, 277 96
Since which nothing has been paid.

There is in this office no evidence that any of the lots bought by Brackenridge have been conveyed by com'r.

Statement—A.

Judgment in Justice Bradford's Court against S. Pilcher, unsatisfied 9th Jan. 1833 for \$24 40.

S Pilcher bought,
1825, April. In old town, lot 268 for

36 00	Paid R Butler, com'r.	
	Amt ascertained by deducting notes turned over to successor from amount of sale.	\$13 50
	Notes for 2d and 3d instalment, turned over to Duval, com'r, and by him passed to Betton, com'r.	22 50
	T R Betton, com'r.	
	A judgment was in Bradford's court unsatisfied 9th Jan. 1833,	24 40
	Since which nothing has been paid.	

There is no evidence that this lot has ever been conveyed by com'r.

Statement A.

Notes against Romeo Lewis (on J. K. Campbell's receipt) due April 1828, for \$35 42.

Romeo Lewis bought,
1825, April. In old town, lot 128 for 56.
127 for 58.
128 57—

And paid R. Butler, com'r.
Amt ascertained by deducting notes turned over to his successor
from the cost of the lots, \$77 60
113 00 Turned over to J. P. Duval, who passed them to T R Betton,
com'r., notes for 3d instalment, 35 40
T. R. Betton, Com'r.

When Betton turned over assets to W. Alston, Com'r. this note
was on J. K. Campbell's receipt unaccounted for 35 40
Since which nothing has been paid thereon.

There is no evidence in this office that lots 127 and 128 have been ever conveyed by com'r.

Statement A.

Notes against Duncan McPherson (on J. K. Campbell's receipt) for \$28 83.

Duncan McPherson bought,
1925, April. In old town, lot 148 for \$46 00

And paid R. Butler, Com'r.
Amt ascertained by deducting notes turned over to successor
from amt of sale, excluding any payment on note for 1st instal-
ment, by R. C. Allen, \$2 75
Note for 1st instalment placed in hands of R C Allen, \$14 41 2-3
Notes for 2d and 3d instalment, passed to T R Betton, com'r,
who turned over Campbell's receipt to Alston, with these notes
unaccounted for, since which nothing has been paid, \$28 83 1-3

There is no evidence in this office that lot 148 has ever been conveyed by commissioner.

Statement B.—Continued.

Thomas Brown,					
2	notes due 7th April 1830, and '31,	for \$25 07	50 14	272	
2	"	"	28 20	274	
2	"	"	26 65 1-3	53 26 2-3—743 72 2-3	117
Thompson Mason,					
3	notes due 7 Apl 1829, '30, & '31, ea.	for 9 71 1-3	29 14	8	
3	"	"	31 33 1-3	94 00	60
3	"	"	31 96	95 88—219 02	61
George F. Chew,					
3	notes due 7 Apl 1829, '30 & '31, ea.	for 10 03	30 09	178	
3	"	"	7 84	23 52	179
3	"	"	20 37	61 11—114 72	219
Hugh L. Campbell,					
3	notes due 7 Apl 1829, '30, & '31, ea.	for 9 40	28 20	189	
1	"	" for 10 96 2-3. cr by 4 65	6 31 1-3		
2	"	" 1830 & '31 ea. 10 96 2-3,	21 93 1-3		
1	"	" 1829, for 12 53 1-3. cr. 87 1-2	10 66	190, '91 '92	
2	"	" 1830, & '31, ea. for 12 53 1-3	25 06 2-3		
3	"	" 1829, '30 & '31, ea. for 12 53 1-3	37 59		
3	"	" " " " 28 20	84 60—214 36 2-3	209	
D. Faust,					
2	notes due 7th April 1830 & '31 ea.	98 70	197 40	125	
2	"	"	75 20	126	
2	"	"	56 40	127	
2	"	"	48 56 2-3	97 13 1-3	128
2	"	"	25 06 2-8	50 13 1-3	129
2	"	"	37 60	75 20	130
2	"	"	10 34	20 68	281
2	"	"	6 27	12 54—641 08 2-3	282

The credits endorsed on these notes were pd to com. 1st Jan. 1830, by J Y Garey, and the entry is made as 'realized from sales 20th July 1829,' under deeds of trust.

152

Statement B—Continued.

James Cameron,*

5	notes due 7th April 1829, '30, & '31, each	10 18 1-3	30 55	
1	" " " 1829, for 18 80, cr by 13 39 1-2		5 40 1-2	
2	" " " 1830, and '31, ea. 18 80		37 60	
1	" " " 1829, for 25 06 2-3, cr 15 69 1-2		9 37	
2	" " " 1830, and '31, ea. 25 06 2-3		50 13 1-3	
3	" " " 1829, '30, & '31, ea. 25 07		75 21	
3	" " " " " " 34 83 1-3		103 30	
3	" " " " " " 32 56 2-3		97 70 1-2	
3	" " " " " " 6 26 2-3		18 80	428 06 2-3

Richard Thomas,

3	notes due 7th April 1829, '30, & '31, ea. 21 31		63 93	
1	" " " " 1829, for 15 67 cr by 5 67 1-2		9 99 1-2	
2	" " " " 1830, & '31, ea. 15 67		31 34	
3	" " " " 1829, '30 & '31 ea. 15 66 2-3		47 00	
1	" " " " 1829, for 11 28 cr by 4 65 1-2		6 62 1-2	
2	" " " " 1830 & '31, ea. 11 28		22 56	181 45

David Thomas,

2	notes due 7th April 1830 & '31, ea. for	9 40	18 80	
"	" " " " " " " "	8 74	17 48	
"	" " " " " " " "	13 16	26 32	
"	" " " " " " " "	20 37	40 74	
"	" " " " " " " "	12 53 1-3	25 06 2-3	128 40 2-3

Benjamin Tenille,

2	notes due 7th April 1830 & '31 ea.	20 68	41 36	
"	" " " " " " " "	33 52 2-3	67 04 1-3	
"	" " " " " " " "	31 64 2-3	63 29 2-3	
3	" " " " 1829 '30 & '31 "	15 04	45 12	
"	" " " " " " " "	14 41 1-3	43 24	260 50 2-3

No. 44

45
48 & 154

98

99

198

233

234 & 235

236

273

275

276

277

278

The crd's endorsed on these notes were pd to com'r 1, Jan 1830, by J Y Garey, and the entry is made as realized fm sale 20 July '29, under deeds of trust.

*For condition of lots 44, 45, 48, see report pages 9 & 10 in this copy.

The amt of note for lot 198 has been pd to trustee, see page 54 (end)

The notes for 1st instal on lots 79 & 80 were pd by J Y Garey and the entry is made as realized from sales 20 July '29, under deeds of trust."

Statement B—Continued.

John Myrick,											
2 notes due 7th April 1829 and '30, each	26	63	1-3	53	26	2-3			No.	113	
do do do do do	32	90		65	80					116	
do do do do do	40	73	1-3	81	46	2-3	200	53		143	
James Barlow,											
3 notes due 7th April 1829, '30 & '31 ea	26	63	2-3	79	90					53	
	19	42	2-3	58	28		138	18		54	
David B. Butler,											
2 notes due 7th April 1830 & '31, ea.	42	30		84	60					102	
3 do do 1829 '30 & '31	51	70		155	10					103	
do do do do do	48	56	2-3	145	70						
2 do do 1830 & '31	48	56	2-3	97	13	1-3			105	109	112
do do do do	48	56	2-3	97	13	1-3					
1 do do 1829 for 48 56 2-3, Cr. by \$5	43	56	1-3	43	56	1-3					
3 do do do '30 & '31, ea.	59	86	2-3	179	60					106	
do do do do do	64	03	1-3	192	10					107	
do do do do do	39	16	2-3	117	50	112	43			108	
Alfred Evans.											
3 Notes due 7th April, 1829, '30 & '31, ea.	9	40					29	20		86	
Jos. M. Dupont.											
1 Note due 7th April, 1829 for 34 46 2-3, cr. by 23 88	10	58	2-3							142	
do do do 1830 & '31, ea.	34	46	2-3	68	93	1-3					
1 do do 1829 for 28 20 cr. by 19 28	8	92								146	
2 do do 1830 & '31, ea.	28	20		56	40						
1 do do 1829 for 23 50 cr.	3	87	1-2	19	62	1-2				147	
2 do do 1830 & '31, ea.	23	50		27	00	211	46	1-2			
James Vanhorn.											
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, ea.	31	33	1-3	62	66	2-3				97	
do do do do	31	33	1-3	62	66	2-3	125	33	1-3	100	

There were judgments unsatisfied in Bradford's Court for 1st instalment on these lots, Jan. 1833, for \$85 26 1-2

A judgment unsatisfied in justice Bradford's Court, for 48 56 2 3, being for 1st instalment on one of these lots.

The credits endorsed on these notes were paid by Gary, 1st Jan. 1830, and the entry is realized from sales 26th July, 1829, under deeds of trust.

Judg't in Bradford's Court unsatisfied for 63 66 2-3, for 1st instalment.

Statement B—Continued.

William Cameron.						
2	Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, ea.	36 03 1.3	72 06 2.3		No. 131	A judgment in Bradford's Court
2	do do do do	21 93	43 86	115 92	134	unsatisfied for \$36 03
William A. Slacum.						
1	Note due 7th April, 1829, for 10 65 1.3	cr. 3 83	6 82 1.3		222	
2	do do 1830 & '31, ea.	10 65 1.3	21-20 2.3			
1	do do 1829, for 11 49 1.3	cr. 1 53	10 06 1.3		279	The credits endorsed on these
2	do do 1830 & '31, ea.	11 59 1.3	23-18 2.3			notes were paid by Garey, 1 Jan.
1	do do 1829, for 4 70, cr.	3 37	1 38			1830, and the entry is made as
2	do do 1830 & '31, ea.	4 70	9 40		254	realized from sales 20th July,
1	do do 1829 for 4 70, cr.	0 61	4 09		255	1839, under deeds of Trust.
2	do do 1830 & '31, ea.	4 70	9 40		283 &	
1	do do 1829 for 4 70, cr.	1 99	2 71		284	
2	do do 1830 & '31, ea.	4 70	9 40			
2	do do do do	4 70	9 40	107 11		
Thomas M. Bradford.						
2	Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, ea.	12 54	25 08		29	
2	do do do do	12 85	25 70		30	The amount due on notes for
2	do do do do	9 72	19 44		34	lots Nos. 29, 30, 173 & 176 has
2	do do do do	5 01 1.3	10 02 1.3		173	been paid to the Trustee, see page
2	do do do do	6 89 1.3	13 78 2.3	94 03 1.3	176	54 (end.)
James Bryan, Jr.						
2	Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, each	9 40	18 80		225	
2	do do do do	6 89	13 78		227	
2	do do do do	6 89	13 78		228	
3	do 19th Jan. 1830, 1831, 1832, each	9 71 1.3	29 14	75 50	218	
E. G. Miller.						
2	Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, each	42 30	84 60		139	
Wm. A. McRae.						
3	Notes due 7th April, 1829, '30 & '31, ea.	17 53	52 59		50	
3	do do do do	22 85	39 55	91 14	51	

Statement B—Continued.

Romeo Lewis.									No. 181
3 Notes due 7th April, 1829, 1830 & 1831, ea.	3	131.3	9	40					184
3 do do do do do do	6	26 1.3	18	79					186
3 do do do do do do	5	01 1.3	15	04					187
3 do do do do do do	4	39	13	17					203
3 do do do do do do	3	76	11	28—	67	68			
David Barker.									
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, ea.	14	10	28	20					31
2 do do do do do do	15	66 2.3	31	33 1.3					32
2 do do do do do do	9	40	18	80—	78	33 1.3			33
Eli Gordy.									
3 Notes due 7 April 1829, 1830, 1831, ea.	13	16			—39	40			220
Eli Gordy & Hester Barefoot.									
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, ea.	23	50	47						221
2 do do do do	8	14 2.3	16	29 1.3—	63	29 1.3			224
Joseph R. Betton:									
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, each	54	83 1.3			—108	66 2.3			121
Marcus W. Bull.									
1 Note due April 7, 1829, for 17 23 1.3, cr. 4 95	12	28 1.3							133
2 do do 1830 & '31, each 17 23 1.3	34	16 2.3			—	46 75			
Lewis D. Cook.									
1 Note due 7th April, 1829, for 9 40, cr. 2 51 1.2	6	88							165
2 do do 1830 & '31, each 9 40	18	80							
1 do do 1829 for 12 53, cr. 2 51 1.2	10	02							168
2 do do 1830 & '31, each 12 53	25	06 2.3			—	60 77			
William Hollis.									
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, each	31	33 1.3			—	62 66 1.3			73
Robert Pendleton.									
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, each 8 74	17	48							185
2 do do do do 23 81 1.3	47	62 2.3			—	65 10 2.3			188
David Emanuel.									
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, each	48	88			—	97 76			138

The order endorsed on this note was paid by Garey, 1st Jan. 1830, and the entry is realised from sale 20th July, 1829, under deed of Trust.

The first instalment on this lot paid by Garey and the entry is released from sale July 20, 1829.

Statement B—Continued.

Richard Mitchel.				
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 and '31, each	\$14 10	28 25		
2 do do do do	18 80	37 60	—65 80.	
Dennis Collins, Michael Burns & John Calvin.				
3 Notes due 7th April, 1829, 1830 and '31, ea.	12 53 1.3	37 60		
2 do do 1830 & '31	12 53 1.3	25 06 2.3		
2 do do do do	5 64	11 28	—73 94 2.3	
D. Collins & W. Hollis.				
1 Note due 7th April, 1829, for \$20 36 2.3, by	19 75	61 2.3		
2 do do 1830 & '31, ea.	20 36 2.3	20 36 2.3		
2 do do do do	16 92	33 84	—75 19	
Eli Lester.				
3 Notes due 7th April, 1829, 1830 & '31, ea.	24 75		—74 25	
Daniel McRaeny.				
2 Notes due 19th Jan. 1831 & '32, ea.	36 34 2.3		—72 69 1.3	
William Turner.				
3 Notes due 19th Jan. 1830, '31 & '32, ea.	10 96 2.3		—28 90 1.3	
William Basset.				
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, each	23 50		—47 00	
Edward Ballard.				
3 Notes due 7th April, 1829, '30 & '31, ea.	17 54 2.3		—52 64	
Benj. H. Ivey.				
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, each	13 47 1.3		—26 94 2.3	
John Reynold.				
3 Notes due 7th April, 1829, '30 & '31, ea.	7 52	22 56		
3 do do do do	6 27	18 81	—41 37	
Orren Marsh.				
2 Notes due 7th April, 1830 & '31, each	7 83	15 66		
3 do do 1829, '30 & '31, ea.	7 52	22 56	—382 00	
Joseph Warren.				
1 Note due 7th April, 1829 for 10 03. cr. by	5 41 1.2	4 61 1.2		
2 do do 1830 & '31, each	10 03	20 06	—24 67 1.2	

No. 35
36

199 & 200

71

72

40

114

132

144

145

280

193

196

174

183

5

The amount of notes for lot No. 174 has been pd. to Trustee, (see page 54.)

Statement B.—Continued.

And the following amounts paid to the Trustee reported to the Commissioner since the schedule was prepared.

On notes of E. K. Freeman.		
Balance on 2d & 3d instalments on lot 119,	110	60
On notes of T. M. Bradford,		
For 2d & 3d instalments on lot 173,	10	02 2-3
do do do 29 & 30,	50	78
do do do 176,	13	78 2-3
On notes of Orren Marsh:		
For 2d & 3d instalments on lot 174,	15	66
On notes of Cameron,		
For the 2d & 3d instalments on lot 198,	18	80
Being part of the amount to be accounted for by trustee,	219	65

449 65

Leaving balance of notes receipted for by J. K. Campbell to be act'd for \$7,490 70 2-3.

The discrepancy between this amount (obtained from the schedule,) and that given in the report, which last is based on assets turned over to Alston, Com'r. arises from the circumstance of the interest on payments which were previously made, being deducted from the aggregate amount of principal of notes placed in the hands of Campbell for collection.

Dr. The Territory of Florida in acc't and with C. G. English, Commissioner of Tallahassee. Cr.

1st July, 1839. To Salary of Commissioner to date (three months.)	\$375 00	30th March, 1839. By amount of sale of fence around Capital Square:	
26 August. To amount transmitted to Cary W. Butt, Mobile, in part of premium awarded to him for plan of Capital. V. No. 1.	99 30	Amount of sale,	\$76; 00
12th Sept. To amount paid J. G. Padrick on account of contract dated 24th July, for enclosing Capital Square. V. No. 2.	400 00	Deduction of Auctioneer, commission, 6 per cent,	4 56
1st October. To Salary of Commissioners to date.	375 00	Nett proceeds of sale as per statement. V. No. 11.	71 44
12th October. To this sum paid J. G. Padrick on account of contract, dated 24th July, for enclosing Capital Square. V. No. 3.	100 00	6th November. By amount of three checks of treasurer in my favour on Planter's Bank of Savannah, for,	150 00
9th November. To this amount paid J. G. Padrick, in full, of contract, dated 24th July, for enclosing Capital Square. V. No. 4.	500 00		\$1022 00
9th November. To this amount paid R. B. Ker, county surveyor, for laying of N. W. 1-4 Sec. 36. T. 1. R. 1. N and W. into lots. V. No. 5.	175 00	By premium on check for \$400, at 6 per ct.	1572 48
16th November. To this amount transmitted by mail to treasurer of New Castle manufacturing company, being the amount of their bill for iron pipe and boring rods, 26th August, 18th and 27th September, 1833, (a draft on Planter's Bank, Savannah.) V. No. 6.	1022 48	16th March. By amount of check of Treasurer in my favour on Planters Bank of Savannah,	24 00
26th November. To this amount paid J. G. Padrick, on account painting fence around Capital Square, according to agreement 29th October, being in part for same. V. No. 7.	200 00	By premium on same at 6 per cent.	1000 00
26th November. To amount paid R. Road Co. for transportation of iron pipes and boring rods.	31 30	20th December. By amount of two checks of Treasurer in my favour on Planter's Bank of Savannah for,	60 00
			100 00
			327 52
		By premium on check for \$327 52 cts., at 10 per cent.	427 52
		By error of commissioners in making contract with Ledwith, being over his bid.	32 75
		Balance due commissioner 1st January, 1840.	40 00
			533 89
			<u>3762 08</u>

Dr. The Territory of Florida in account and with C. G. English, Commissioner of Tallahassee. Cr.

26th November. To amount paid R. J. Hackley for hawling boring apparatus from Dep't. V. No. 9.	9 00
29th December. To amount, Cary W. Butt, Mobile, in full, of premium awarded him for plan of Capital V. No. 10.	100 00
1st. January, 1840. To Salary of Commissioner to date.	375 00
	<hr/>
	\$3762 00

Commissioners Office, Tallahassee, 1st January, 1840.
(Signed,) C. G. ENGLISH, Commissioner.