Issue Description

Section 409.25659, F.S., requires the Department of Revenue (DOR or “the department”) to develop and operate a data match system in which an insurer may voluntarily provide DOR with the name, address, and, if known, date of birth and Social Security number or other taxpayer identification number for each noncustodial parent who has a claim with the insurer and who owes past-due child support. Section 409.25661, F.S., provides that specified information regarding a noncustodial parent who owes past-due child support, collected by DOR pursuant to s. 409.25659, F.S., is confidential and exempt from public records. This exemption is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act. The paragraph stands repealed on October 2, 2009, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Background

Florida Public Records Law

The State of Florida has a long history of providing public access to governmental records. The Florida Legislature enacted the first public records law in 1892.¹ One hundred years later, Floridians adopted an amendment to the State Constitution that raised the statutory right of access to public records to a constitutional level:

Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.²

Consistent with this constitutional provision, Florida’s Public Records Act provides that, unless specifically exempted, all public records must be made available for public inspection and copying.³

The term “public record” is broadly defined to mean:

. . . all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.⁴

The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted this definition to encompass all materials made or received by an agency⁵ in connection with official business, which are used to “perpetuate, communicate or formalize

¹ Sections 1390, 1391 F.S. (Rev. 1892).
² Fla. Const. art. I, s. 24(a).
³ Section 119.07, F.S.
⁴ Section 119.011(11), F.S.
⁵ The word “agency” is defined in s. 119.011(2), F.S., to mean “. . . any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer,
knowledge.” Unless made exempt, all such materials are open for public inspection as soon as they become records.

Only the Legislature is authorized to create exemptions to open government requirements. Exemptions must be created by general law, which must specifically state the public necessity justifying the exemption. Further, the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. A bill enacting an exemption or substantially amending an existing exemption may not contain other substantive provisions, although it may contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.

There is a difference between records that the Legislature makes exempt from public inspection and those that it makes exempt and confidential. If the Legislature makes a record exempt and confidential, the information may not be released by an agency to anyone other than to the persons or entities designated in the statute. If a record is simply made exempt from disclosure requirements, the exemption does not prohibit the showing of such information.

Open Government Sunset Review Act
Section 119.15, F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act, provides for the systematic review of exemptions from the Public Records Act on a five-year cycle ending October 2 of the fifth year following the enactment or substantial amendment of an exemption. Each year, by June 1, the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of Legislative Services is required to certify to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the language and statutory citation of each exemption scheduled for repeal the following year.

Pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act, an exemption may be created, revised or retained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and it is no broader than necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of three specified purposes and the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption. An exemption meets the statutory criteria if it:

1. Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
2. Protects information of a sensitive personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which would be defamatory . . . or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals, or would jeopardize the safety of such individuals; or
3. Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information which is used to protect or further department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

---

7 Tribune Co. v. Cannella, 458 So.2d 1075, 1077 (Fla. 1984).
8 Fla. Const. art. I, s. 24(c).
9 Id.
10 Id.
11 Pursuant to s. 119.15 (4)(b), F.S., an existing exemption is considered substantially amended if the exemption is expanded to cover additional records.
12 Fla. Const. art. I, s. 24(c).
13 WFTV, Inc. v. School Bd. of Seminole, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA), review denied, 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004).
14 Id.
15 Id. at 54.
16 Section 119.15(3), F.S.
17 Section 119.15(5)(a), F.S.
18 Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.
a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.\textsuperscript{19}

The Act also requires the Legislature to consider six questions that go to the scope, public purpose and necessity for the exemption.\textsuperscript{20}

**Insurance Claim Data Exchange**

Section 409.25656, F.S., provides the department with the authority to place a levy on any credit or personal property of an obligor for any past due child support. This includes bank accounts, vehicles, and insurance claim payments. Section 409.25659, F.S., was established during the 2004 Legislative Session to provide for the identification of claims on liability insurance\textsuperscript{21} which could potentially be applied to child support arrearages in Title IV-D cases.\textsuperscript{22} The department reports that as of May 2008, of the total Title IV-D caseload, there were 466,231 noncustodial parents eligible to be matched using the insurance claim data exchange.\textsuperscript{23}

The department was directed by statute to develop and operate a data match system which would identify noncustodial parents who owe past-due child support and who also have a claim with an insurer. This process allows insurers to voluntarily provide DOR with the name, address, and if known, date of birth and Social Security number or other taxpayer identification number for each noncustodial parent identified as having a claim.\textsuperscript{24} This data can only be used for purposes of child support enforcement.\textsuperscript{25}

Within the data match system, an insurer may provide DOR with the needed information in one of three ways:\textsuperscript{26}

- An insurer may provide the required data for each claim directly to DOR electronically so that the department can conduct a data match;
- An insurer may receive or access data from DOR and conduct a data match of all noncustodial parents who have a claim with the insurer and who owe past-due child support, and submit the match data regarding each noncustodial parent to DOR; or
- An insurer may authorize an insurance claim data collection organization to complete either of the two options mentioned above.

Due to the variety of data submission methods provided within the system, it would be possible for DOR to receive information on individuals having a claim with an insurer, who do not owe child support.

Section 409.25661, F.S., provides that information obtained by DOR pursuant to s. 409.25659, F.S., is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution until the department determines whether a match exists. If a match does exist, the matched data is no longer considered to

\textsuperscript{19}Id.

\textsuperscript{20}Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. See the Findings section of this report for a review of the six questions as they relate to this particular exemption.

\textsuperscript{21}Section 409.24659(1)(b), F.S., defines a claim as an open, unresolved bodily injury claim on liability coverage in excess of $3,000 in an insurance contract payable to an individual, or to a third party for the benefit of the individual, who is a Florida resident or who had an accident or loss that occurred in Florida or who has an outstanding child support obligation in Florida.

\textsuperscript{22}Chapter 2004-334, L.O.F. The term “Title IV-D” refers to state-run child support enforcement programs which are funded through grants provided for by the Social Security Act of 1975. Title IV of the Social Security Act covers grants to states for the purpose of providing aid and services to needy families with children and for child-welfare services. Part “D” of that law covers child support and the establishment of paternity.

\textsuperscript{23}E-mail, from the Department of Revenue, to the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs, (August 6, 2008, 1:33 PM EDT) (on file with the committee). According to this email, almost 59 percent of child support cases in Florida are being paid in arrears.

\textsuperscript{24}Section 409.25659(2), F.S.

\textsuperscript{25}Section 409.25659(5), F.S.

\textsuperscript{26}Section 409.25659(2)(a)-(c), F.S.
be confidential and exempt, and becomes available for public disclosure unless otherwise exempt.27 If a match is not made, the nonmatch information must be destroyed.28

Implementation of the Insurance Claim Data Exchange
The department reports that they currently do not match data files with insurance companies pursuant to s. 409.25659, F.S., which went into effect on October 1, 2004. According to DOR, in 2004, immediate steps were taken to implement the statute by making contact with most of the top 25 insurers in the state. During this time, insurers were responding to claims resulting from damage caused during the 2004 hurricane season, and consequently, DOR decided to postpone working on the insurance claim data exchange initiative. The department neither re-initiated contact with the insurers nor attempted to resume implementation activities due to resources being otherwise dedicated to the statewide implementation of Phase I of the Child Support Enforcement Automated Management System (CAMS).29

In February 2006, Congress passed the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 which authorized the Federal Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to compare information concerning individuals owing past-due child support with information maintained by insurers concerning insurance claims, settlements, awards, and payments. The Act further allows HHS to furnish information resulting from the data matches to state agencies responsible for child support enforcement.30 A federal workgroup was established in order to implement this provision.

The department chose to monitor the activities of the federal workgroup charged with implementing the nationwide insurance data match program and to implement the system changes necessary to receive data from the federal program.31

Although the department is not currently one of the state child support enforcement agencies participating in the federal program, DOR reports that it will complete implementation of the federal program in September 2008.32

The department plans to integrate the federal data into CAMS on or after the statewide implementation of CAMS Phase II in March 2011.33

Findings and/or Conclusions
As required by s. 119.15(6)(b)2, F.S., this exemption protects information of a sensitive personal nature concerning individuals which, if released, may be defamatory or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of that individual.

What specific records are affected by the exemption?
The confidential and exempt information includes the following information regarding an individual who has a claim with an insurer:

- Name;
- Address;
- Date of birth (if known);
- Social Security number (if known) or other taxpayer identification number (if known); and
- Claim number.

27 Section 409.25661(1), F.S.
28 Section 409.25659(5), F.S.
29 Letter from the Department of Revenue, to the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs (Sept. 5, 2008)(on file with the committee).
30 Id.
31 Id.
32 Id.
33 Id.
Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
The exemption applies to specific information obtained by DOR regarding an individual who has a claim against an insurance company. The individual may or may not be a noncustodial parent who owes past-due child support.

What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
In 2004, the Legislature found that it is a public necessity that insurance claims information obtained by DOR pursuant to s. 409.25659, F.S., be made confidential and exempt until such time as the department determines whether a match is made with regards to a person who owes child support. Such information regarding those persons who do not receive a match is personal and of a private nature. Gathering and maintaining personal information on persons for purposes of child support enforcement, when such persons do not owe child support, could be considered an intrusion into the right of one’s privacy, especially since those persons are unaware that a government agency has collected such information.34

If such information is not made confidential and exempt until the time specified, the effective and efficient administration of the insurance claim data exchange program could be jeopardized.35

Insurers might be less likely to provide the department with information regarding insurance claims if the insurer has concerns that such information will be made available for public disclosure.36

Finally, public oversight of such a program is not hindered in that the public has access to all information regarding persons receiving a match.37

Can the information contained in the records be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
The department reports that the information that is held confidential and exempt pursuant to s. 409.25661, F.S., cannot be readily obtained by alternative means.

Is the record protected by another exemption?
The confidential and exempt information may include the Social Security number of an individual who has a claim and who may or may not be a noncustodial parent who owes past-due child support. This exemption is duplicative of the Social Security number exemption found in s. 119.071(5)(a)3, F.S.

Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record that it would be appropriate to merge?
Under the provisions of s. 119.071(5)(a)5, F.S., all Social Security numbers held by an agency are confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution. Therefore, the inclusion of Social Security numbers within the confidential and exempt information provided for in s. 409.25661, F.S., is duplicative.

Options and/or Recommendations
Based upon the Open Government Sunset Review of s. 409.25661, F.S., the Legislature may wish to pursue one of the following options:

1. The Legislature may choose to retain the exemption. If the exemption is not re-enacted, certain information of a sensitive and personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which may be defamatory or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of that individual may become available to the public. Although the department reports that they are not currently matching data, there is a possibility that an insurance company may volunteer information pursuant to s. 409.25659, F.S. The

34 Chapter 2004-339, L.O.F.
35 Id.
36 Id.
37 Id.
department recommends this option. Therefore, Senate professional staff recommends that the exemption specified in s. 409.25661, F.S., be retained.

2. Because s. 409.25659, F.S., was never implemented by DOR and because the Federal Government has created a similar system, the Legislature may wish to dissolve the data matching system created in s. 409.25659, F.S., and allow the exemption granted in s. 409.25661, F.S., to sunset. However, it should be noted that it is the department’s concern that due to the newness of the federal program, the repeal of the voluntary program established in s. 409.25659, F.S., would eliminate Florida’s ability to implement a state program if the federal program fails to gain an abundance of insurance company participation.

---

38 Each state must include safeguards in its child support plan to protect the privacy rights of the parties to a child support case in which the agency is involved. See 42 U.S.C. § 654(26). Therefore, if this exemption is allowed to sunset, the information obtained by DOR from the federal insurance match program, which is a part of the Federal Parent Locator Service would be held confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., pursuant to s. 409.2577, F.S.

39 Letter from the Department of Revenue, to the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs (Sept. 5, 2008)(on file with the committee).