



CITY OF
FORT LAUDERDALE
FLORIDA

Verica of America
CARLTON B. MOORE
COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT III

100 NORTH ANDREWS AVENUE • 33301
(954) 828-5004
FAX (954) 828-8867
E-MAIL: CarltonM@cityfort.com

TO: Senator Daniel Webster
Representative Johnny Byrd

FROM: Carlton B. Moore, City of Fort Lauderdale Commissioner, District III

DATE: October 1, 2001

SUBJECT: Reapportionment of Senate and House Seats

Gentlemen:

I would like to outline several of my concerns regarding the reapportionment process you have instituted so far:

1. I am very concerned that meetings to discuss reapportionment are already scheduled and taking place, yet none of them are in an area populated largely by the African-American community. Given that reapportionment is an extremely important issue to this sector of the community I would suggest that you rethink your strategy and host a meeting in the central area of Broward County.
2. I urge you to share with the community now what criteria your committee will use in re-drawing district lines. There are many possible methods and to reduce the potential for a court challenge I suggest you outline your approach quickly.
3. There are areas of common interest that must be heard in this process including shared economic, cultural, educational, zoning and housing concerns.
4. I firmly believe that there is potential for three House seats in Broward County that would allow minority participation in an impact manner when making the selection of a Representative. I urge this committee to accept that fact and create a third House seat.

Cc Representative Matt Meadows
Senator Mandy Dawson
Representative Chris Smith




City of Boca Raton

201 WEST PALMETTO PARK ROAD • BOCA RATON, FLORIDA 33432-3730 • (561) 393-7708 • FAX (561) 367-7014



MAYOR
STEVEN L. ABRAMS
DEPUTY MAYOR
CAROL G. HANSON

COUNCIL MEMBERS
DAVE FREUDENBERG
BILL GLASS
SUSAN HAYNIE



October 1, 2001

Senate Reapportionment Committee
Attention: Senate Plans
103 Senate Office Building
404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

House Redistricting Committee
203 House Office Building
402 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300

Dear Senators and Representatives:

The purpose of this letter is to urge the Committees to retain the composition of the current Twenty-Second Congressional District within the City of Boca Raton. In fact, the City supports expanding the district to include as much of the City of Boca Raton as possible. The district is currently represented by Congressman E. Clay Shaw, Jr.

The City of Boca Raton is a community of interest, and to the extent possible, should be treated as a single unit. Doing so promotes greater accountability and citizen familiarity with their elected officials.

The City has never had an absolute objection to being represented by more than one Member of Congress. We wish to note that the City is satisfied with the representation we receive from the incumbent congressmen who currently represent the City in addition to Mr. Shaw, namely Congressmen Wexler and Hastings. However, when a city is dissected to the point where no one Member's district encompasses a clear majority of the city, responsibility for city issues can become diffused.

Moreover, one of the most significant matters the City has before Congress is funding for beach renourishment, as well as other coastal-related issues. We urge the Committees to continue to include as large a portion of this area's coastal precincts in any newly drawn district to enable Mr. Shaw to continue to be as effective as he has been in obtaining action on coastal issues in Congress. As you are well aware, our beaches are a vital component not just of our city, but also of our entire state's economy and quality of life, so it is important for Florida to maintain effective representation on this issue by retaining a coastal configured district.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. If I can provide you with any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Steven L. Abrams".

Steven L. Abrams
Mayor

SLA/pgj

cc: City Council
Congressman Shaw

DEANNE P. LANGE
2226 Switzerland Way, #16
Clearwater, FL 33763-2737
(727)712-8583
E-mail: dlange@tampabay.rr.com

October 1, 2001

Honorable Daniel Webster, Chair
Senate Committee on Reapportionment
103 Senate Office Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Re: Redistricting

Hello:

I am concerned that the reapportionment and redistricting process be completed in a fair manner. Redistricting Florida's political boundaries, based on the new census data, is more important to the political process than gerrymandering areas to benefit a particular candidate or party. I hope you will make your decision fairly so that the voters of Florida can select the best people to represent them.

Sincerely,



Deanne P. Lange

-----Original Message-----

From: Chris Fuller [mailto:cpfuller@attglobal.net]

Sent: Monday, October 01, 2001 10:18 AM

To: senateredistricting@leg.state.fl.us

Subject: RE: questions on redistricting process

Dear Sirs,

The Gainesville Sun (Sept. 24) printed a negative article about the redistricting process: "District changes may hurt voters"...by Lloyd Dunkelberger – Sun Tallahassee Bureau. It is obvious that this writer, and those quoted in his article, is pro-independent commission and anti-legislature running the process. I want to write a letter to the editor supporting the current legislative process. I found your wonderful web site, which is very informative. I have a few questions:

1. Dunkelberger said, "...every citizen should care about (reapportionment) and watch very carefully. One of their fundamental rights is at stake: the right to cast a meaningful vote in an election." How would redistricting cause, or not cause, this to happen?
2. Dunkelberger said, "Bad things can happen because partisan politics is the overriding theme in redistricting. Legislative leaders focus on creating districts that can be won or held by the majority party, making other redistricting concerns secondary." He kept referring to the process from 10 years ago. Was the legislative leadership at that time mostly Democratic? Was there really a problem?
3. Dunkelberger said, "Florida is one of the few states that allow precincts to be split, according to elections officials." Do neighborhoods get split, as he reports? And why or why not would that be a problem?
4. He said, "that minority voters can be packed into single districts, meaning other lawmakers in nearby districts would have little reason to advance agendas important to minorities." Do you have factual information about minorities?
5. He talked about "bizarrely shaped districts." Again, I'm sure he is referring to what happened 10 years ago. Will that happen this year?
6. Dunkelberger quoted Ion Sancho, supervisor of elections for Leon County, "Redistricting is one of the least understood subjects in the U.S. with regard to politics...." Sancho, a Democrat, said, "supervisors are keenly aware of what drives the process." Again, it looks like they are referring to what happened 10 years ago. Do all supervisors hold this opinion?
7. Dunkelberger finally discusses the new redistricting process and how there is more accountability, more open to the public, and mentions about the 24 public hearings being held to allow the public and other interest groups to create their own redistricting plans. But, he focuses the reader to question whether the Legislature should have anything to do with the process at all, and suggest that an independent commission would do a much better job. Have you heard of any problems from other states that have such commissions?

Thank you for your time,

Barbara Fuller, Gainesville - Florida

Linda Hartung
359 48th Avenue North
360 St. Petersburg, FL 33703

October 2, 2001

The Honorable Dan Webster, Chair
Senate Committee on Reapportionment
103 Senate Office Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Chairman Dan Webster,

Re: Reapportionment/Redistricting

As a concerned citizen I believe the reapportionment/redistricting process must be completed in a fair manner. It is only fair that redrawing Florida's political boundaries be based on new Census data.

It is imperative that public hearings be easily accessible to all citizens. Maps must be drawn so that each voting citizen be given the right to react to actual proposals.

New districts must be fair **to all** of our state's citizens, i.e. racial and ethnic groups; communities of interests; and all residents of all parts of the state regardless of party affiliation.

Thank you for your time and effort!.

Sincerely,



Linda Hartung

October 3, 2001

Senator Daniel Webster
103 Senate Office Building
404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

Re: legislation on redistricting

Dear Chairman:

I just finished your letter to The Readers Forum in the Miami Herald about redistricting.

This is a subject dear to my heart. It is, in my opinion, probably the most abused function that has to do with our privilege to vote.

Whoever gerrymandered the current voting districts made a very unfair mess of the right to be fairly represented as a voter.

Some voters have a vote that counts for little and others have a vote that is disproportionate in value.

Just the shape of the districts shows that fairness was not intended. It must be very difficult for a candidate to tell if he lives in the district and to tell if he is campaigning in the correct locale.

All I hope is that the next redistricting committee forgets about partisanship, race, and place of origin when they draw the boundary lines for the voting districts that the voters have to live with for the next ten years.

From what you say in the Readers Forum, you sound like a Representative of the people that wants to do the right thing. My best wishes and Good Luck.

Sincerely


Paul H. Whitton

9221 SW 165 St.
Miami, Florida 33157

-----Original Message-----

From: Rod Alonso [mailto:rhoalpha@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2001 10:09 AM
To: senateredistricting@leg.state.fl.us;
floridaredistricting@leg.state.fl.us
Cc: Bob Flanders; Shane Graber; Steve Hagen; Helena Hantzes; Leonie
Hermantin; Pat McCoy; Heikkie Talvitie; David Treece; Joe Carollo; Willy
Gort; Tomas Regalado; Joe Sanchez; Art Teele; Johnny Winton
Subject: Redistricting

To whom it may concern:

When redistricting, please do not disconnect the neighborhoods of Morningside and Bay Point from the rest of the Upper Eastside of the City of Miami. Currently Morningside and Bay Point are in Senate District 38 and House District 106. The neighborhoods of Bayside, Belle Meade, and Shorecrest are in Senate District 36 and House District 108. The Upper Eastside, which runs from NE 37th Street to NE 87th Street between the FEC Railway and Biscayne Bay, is a cohesive area that should be represented by common state senate, state house, and congressional districts.

Rod Alonso
451 NE 53rd Street
Miami, FL 33137
305.762.6287

Copy to: Upper Eastside Miami Council; City of Miami

OCT 08 2001

7940 SW 124 St.
Pinecrest FL 33156-6052
October 3, 2001

Senator Daniel Webster
315 S. Dillard St.
Winter Garden FL 34787

Dear Senator Webster,

Your letter to the editor in the Miami Herald of October 2 tells me that you are chair of the Reapportionment Committee of the Legislature. Below are my thoughts on and a proposal for reapportionment.

What would be the fairest method of redistricting we could think of?

Electors choose by district representatives to state and federal legislatures. In the vast majority of cases these representatives are members of the Republican and Democratic parties. The fairest way would be to create districts in which Democratic and Republican voters would have as equal a chance as possible to elect candidates.

However, districts in which Democratic and Republican voters would have as equal a chance as possible to elect candidates simply on the basis of past voting records would have to be combined with real campaign finance reform; otherwise the greater amount of money which one party may be able to put into campaigns will cause an imbalance in influence on elections, and we would have to put into a district more voters of the party which has more lower economic class households. The way out of this is to have real campaign finance reform, which is a good thing in itself. An excellent model for real campaign finance reform can be found at website:
<http://www.publiccampaign.org/bill97.html>

My proposal will give members of all parties a chance to represent a district and to elect a candidate of their choice. Unfortunately there is a federal law which will impede this process. That is the law which states that the vote of minorities must not be diluted. As well intended as this law is, the long-term view has been ignored. Furthermore, the law that says that the vote of minorities shall not be diluted shows us another law, the law of unintended consequences.

Not diluting the vote of minorities has caused compact minority districts to be created. This has led to a greater election of members of minority groups, but at the same time it has deprived neighboring districts of minority votes that could have made the difference in that neighboring district between electing a candidate more favorable to minorities rather than one less favorable to minorities. For this reason, and others, it would be wise for minorities to seek the repeal of the law about not diluting minority votes. Until that can be done, it would be wise for them to do everything they can to see to it that this law is not operational.

Virtually everyone *says* they want a society which is nondiscriminatory. This goal cannot be achieved by segregation into legislative districts based on race and ethnicity. By keeping themselves politically segregated racial and ethnic minorities impede their assimilation into American society.

The above proposal, especially if combined with real campaign finance reform, is the fairest and most intelligent I can think of. If it seems Utopian, please remember that there is nothing in our government today that was not once thought to be wildly Utopian.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "H. Eugene Wine". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

H. Eugene Wine

October 5, 2001

Representative Bev Kilmer
4427 Market Street
P. O. Box 1536
Marianna, Fl 32447-5586

Those of us from Workforce Region Nineteen (19) are still reeling from your groups "Opportunity Florida" and "Economic Revolution" presentation at the Florida Workforce Economic Development Summit on October 2, 2001, at Cape Canaveral.

We have the area of critical economic concern designation and working toward becoming an enterprise zone. Using ideas from your Regional Economic Development Partnership, our excellent staff, and board support, we will reach out to our communities to support our pro-business agenda.

Per our conversation at the Economic Summit, we will need Legislative Redistricting Committee help. Workforce Region Nineteen (19), consisting of Hardee, Highlands, and Desoto counties does not have a single House member or Senator residing in its confines.

Listening to your presentation it became very clear to me that having this representation in our region is critical to our success.

Our region is in the central part of the state, agriculture related with similar problems and concerns. In order to preserve "Common Interest" we should be kept in a central corridor not linked with urban or coastal counties. In order for our voice to be heard we need a Regional representative who understands and supports our rural interest. Our Senate district should be considered in the same fashion.

Please allow me to offer the following solutions:

Hardee County	25,000
Highlands County	85,000
Desoto County	30,000

Hardee County	25,000
Desoto County	30,000
Part of Highlands	25,000
Southern Polk	25,000
Eastern Manatee	25,000

I have been in contact with LEO's from Hardee, Highlands and Desoto counties. These officials express the same regional concerns as mine and support the above or very similar solutions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter. I am looking forward to seeing you at our Toolkit reception in the near future.

Sincerely,

**Donald Samuels
Chair, Heartland Workforce Region 19**

**cc: William Lambert, Jr.
Hardee County Commissioner**

HARDEE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
412 WEST ORANGE STREET
ROOM A-203, COURTHOUSE ANNEX
WAUCHULA, FLORIDA 33873
(863) 773-9430 * (863) 773-6952 * FAX (863) 773-0958
Web Page: www.hardeecountv.net
Email: bcc@hardeecountv.net

October 9, 2001

Honorable Daniel Webster
Senate Reapportionment Committee Chairman
404 S. Monroe Street, Suite 103
Senate Office Building
Tallahassee, FL 32344

Dear Senator Webster:

We appreciate the opportunity to participate in the drawing of the legislative and congressional district lines for Hardee County. Hardee County is a small rural county struggling to create a stable economy for our community. We have been designated an area of critical economic concern and our community leaders are committed to making every effort possible to transform our economy. We realize, however, that without the support of our legislators we are limited in what we can accomplish.

Our goal is to assist in establishing districts including Hardee County and other counties which have similar demographics and related economic concerns. In rearranging the districts to include comparable communities, we hope to develop a coalition of legislators who can focus on the similar concerns of our rural district. Our analysis of what we consider to be the most fair division of districts for Hardee is as follows:

- **Congressional Districts** – remain the same;
- **State Senate** – form a state senate district similar to the US House District which excludes the northern 200,000 people of the congressional district;
- **State House** – to include Hardee County (25,000), Desoto County (30,000), a portion of Highlands County (25,000), the southern part of Polk County (25,000) and the eastern portion of Manatee County (25,000).

We feel that the above scenario for the State House District would be the most beneficial to meet the concerns of our rural areas. However, our alternate recommendation would be to form a state house district that consists of Hardee County, Desoto County and Highlands County.

We have, to date, been fairly represented in both the House and Senate, but the diversity of political realities facing a Representative or Senator with interests of both coastal and

Clifton N. Timmerman - Walter B. Olliff, Jr.
E. Milton Lanier – William R. Lambert, Jr. – Gordon R. Norris
County Manager Gary Oden -- County Attorney Kenneth B. Evers

Page 2
October 9, 2001

interior counties are becoming increasingly difficult to accommodate. Additionally, the approach of reapportionment over the last twenty years has had a tendency to disenfranchise potential political candidates from any opportunity to be elected from our region. We seek political parity in order to provide a state legislature with the same diversity of its members as the state's population.

For your review, enclosed is a letter from the Chairman of the Heartland Workforce, Region 19, expressing his ideas relating to redistricting for Hardee County, Highlands County and Desot County.

Again, we appreciate the opportunity to participate in this process, and look forward to working with you to establish these new districts. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me or County Manager Gary Oden.

Sincerely,



Clifton N. Timmerman
Chairman, Hardee County

c: Representative Johnnie B. Byrd, Jr.
Donald Samuels, Heartland Workforce Region 19
Highlands County Board of County Commissioners
Desoto County Board of County Commissioners
Polk County Board of County Commissioners
Manatee County Board of County Commissioners

enclosure

BILZIN SUMBERG DUNN BAENA PRICE & AXELROD LLP

A PARTNERSHIP OF PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

2500 FIRST UNION FINANCIAL CENTER

200 SOUTH BISCAYNE BOULEVARD • MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131-2336

TELEPHONE: (305) 374-7580 • FAX: (305) 374-7583

E-MAIL: INFO@BILZIN.COM • WWW.BILZIN.COM

BROWARD: (954) 356-0030

James de la O
(305) 374-7580 ext. 3022
e-mail: jdela@bilzin.com

October 10, 2001

VIA FACSIMILE: (850) 487-6458

Senator Daniel Webster
Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 South Monroe Street
103 Senate Office Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

***Re: Public Records Request For Documents Relating to 2001
Reapportionment Process***

Dear Senator Webster:


This letter will serve as a public records request for any and all correspondence, e-mails or any other documents sent or provided by the general public to the Florida Senate, the Senate Committee on Reapportionment and any of its subcommittees regarding the reapportionment process. I am also requesting any correspondence, e-mails, or any other documents by the Florida Senate or the Senate Committee on Reapportionment responding to the correspondence by the general public regarding the reapportionment process. The time frame should be from January 1, 2001 until the present.

For your information, I have already made public records requests to Todd Thomson at the Florida House of Representatives for public speaker cards from the reapportionment hearings, written submissions by the public speakers at those hearings, and correspondence or other documents from the general public to the Florida House of Representatives, including their responses to same.

The copies of these documents can be sent to my attention at the address listed above. If you have any questions regarding this matter please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,



James de la O
Paralegal

re approp.



OCT 22 2001

**BUSINESS PARTNERS
SHARING OUR
VISION**

October 16, 2001

PLATINUM

Osceola News-Gazette

Senator Jack Latvala
Chairman, Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

OUC—The Reliable One

Dear Chairman Latvala,

GOLD

City of St. Cloud

The St. Cloud Chamber of Commerce, by vote of its Board of Directors, approved the enclosed resolution supporting the inclusion of St. Cloud in Florida Senate District 18. The Chamber urges the Committee's consideration of this resolution for the reasons presented therein.

Sincerely,

David Lane
President/CEO

1200 New York Avenue
St. Cloud, Florida 34769

Phone: (407) 892-3671
Fax: (407) 892-5289

We're St. Cloud PROUD

**BUSINESS PARTNERS
SHARING OUR
VISION**

PLATINUM

Osceola News-Gazette

OUC—The Reliable One

GOLD

City of St. Cloud

1200 New York Avenue
St. Cloud, Florida 34769

Phone: (407) 892-3671
Fax: (407) 892-5289

We're St. Cloud PROUD

A RESOLUTION OF THE ST. CLOUD/GREATER OSCEOLA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, ST. CLOUD, FLORIDA, IN SUPPORT OF REDISTRICTING THAT CONTINUES THE CITY OF ST. CLOUD WITHIN FLORIDA SENATE DISTRICT 18.

WHEREAS, Florida Senate District 18 includes Brevard County and much of Osceola County; and,

WHEREAS, Florida Senate District 18, based on data from the 2000 Census may be altered, thereby changing its boundaries; and,

WHEREAS, St. Cloud shares common ties with Brevard County, such as the 5th District State Department of Transportation; and,

WHEREAS, the major emergency disaster evacuation route from the coast inland from Brevard County is Route 192 which leads to St. Cloud and is in need of widening, a concern shared by St. Cloud City Government, the St. Cloud Chamber, and the Melbourne/Palm Bay Area Chamber of Commerce, and Brevard local governments; and,

WHEREAS, the ranching, agricultural character of the St. Cloud area has much in common with the western part of Brevard County; and,

WHEREAS, the educational services of Brevard County Community College have become a part of Osceola County, with a campus presence established at the new Town of Harmony, St. Cloud, now under development between St. Cloud and Melbourne; and,

WHEREAS, major new growth will take place within the next ten years along the 192 corridor east of St. Cloud, linking St. Cloud and Brevard County;

Be It Therefore Resolved that, the St. Cloud Greater Osceola Chamber of Commerce does hereby petition the State Legislature to include the City of St. Cloud and the area east, including Brevard County to remain within Florida Senate District 18 once the redistricting process is complete.

BY:



D. Charles Anderson
Chairman of the Board

BY:



David Lane
President

Reapportionment

October 19, 2001

The Honorable Daniel Webster
Florida State Senate
316 Senate Office Building
404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dear Senator Webster,

I am pleased to present to you the recommendations of the Nassau County GOP Ad Hoc Committee on redistricting. Our local Republican Executive Committee adopted the recommendations of our committee's report at the Oct 18, 2001 meeting in Yulee, Florida.


This product is the result of many months of meetings, input from numerous guest speakers, debate among members of the committee and consensus building within the local party.

I believe these recommendations represent the views of local republicans in Nassau County and provide a framework for redistricting in Northeast Florida. It is our hope that your committee will use these recommendations when weighing the views and perspective of the people that live in Nassau County.

We hope to have the opportunity to provide testimony before the committee once the work has started relative to the redistricting and reapportionment needs in Northeast Florida.

If I can be of any assistance, please do not hesitate to contact my office at 904-845-2362 or home at 904-261-2213. I look forward to working with you and the other members of your committee to promote the position of the local Republican Executive Committee in Nassau County.

Sincerely,



Douglas D. Adkins
Chairman

Nassau County GOP Ad Hoc Committee on Redistricting

c.c. Jim Adams, Chairman – Nassau County Republican Party

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REDISTRICTING

October 18, 2001

I BACKGROUND

A. Article III of the Florida Constitution deals with Reapportionment as follows:

Section 16. Legislative apportionment. -

(a) SENATORIAL AND REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICTS.

The legislature at its regular session in the second year following each decennial census, by joint resolution, shall Apportion the state in accordance with the constitution of The state and of the United States into not less than thirty Nor more than forty consecutively numbered senatorial districts of either contiguous, overlapping or identical territory, and into not less than eighty nor more than one hundred twenty consecutively numbered representative districts of either contiguous, overlapping or identical territory. Should that session adjourn without adopting such joint resolution, the governor by proclamation shall reconvene the legislature within thirty days in special apportionment session, which shall not exceed thirty consecutive days, during which no other business shall be transacted, and it shall be the mandatory duty of the legislature to adopt a joint resolution of apportionment.

B. In February 2001, in anticipation of the above, Mr. Jim Adams, Chairman, Nassau County Republican Party, appointed Mr. Doug Adkins to chair an ad hoc committee to provide recommendations on redistricting. The following individuals were named to the ad hoc committee:

Doug Adkins
Mary Ann Tobin
Myers Kurtz
Ann Herbek
Jane Manley
Sara Benzel
Orrin Main

Ed Rodriguez
John Drew
John Crawford
Tom Tyrrill
Muriel Creamer
Chip Oxley
Bill Berglund

- C, The first committee meeting was held on February 27, 2001 and
The following plan was formulated:

(1) PURPOSE:

Develop and identify redistricting issues that will affect
Nassau County and the Republican Party, and to make
Recommendations to the Nassau County Republican
Executive Committee.

(2) DISTRICTS UNDER REVIEW:

- Nassau County (precincts, school board, county comm)
- Florida State House
- Florida State Senate
- U. S. Congress

(3) PRELIMINARY GROUNDWORK:

Schedule Educational Workshops

- (a) Historical
- (b) State GOP Overview
- (c) State Congressional Overview
- (d) Federal Congressional Overview
- (e) Impartial/objective Overview

Obtain Appropriate Documentation

- (a) Maps
- (b) Census (1990 and 2000)
- (c) Regional Planning Council Data (County)

(4) AD HOC COMMITTEE SCHEDULE TIMELINE:

February 27, 2001 to October 1, 200

II EDUCATIONAL WORKSHOPS

The committee agreed that in order to provide reasonable and rational recommendations to the Executive Board, historical as well as theoretical inputs were required.

In that regard, monthly meetings were scheduled and the following made presentations:

Clerk of Court Chip Oxley
Vicki Cannon, Supervisor of Elections
Former County Commissioner John Crawford
Representative Johnny Byrd
Senator Jim King
Ralph Gonzales (State GOP)
Representative Mike Hogan
Jacksonville Councilman Matt Carlucci
Senator Richard Mitchell
Representative Aaron Bean
Bill Helmick, Republican Party of Florida
Representative Don Davis

III DOCUMENTATION

The committee was very fortunate in that the presenters listed in paragraph II provided the majority of the maps and 1990/2000 census data.

In addition, Vicki Cannon, Supervisor of Elections and the Northeast Florida Regional Planning Council provided data.

All data is available for review.

IV GROUND RULES FOR REDISTRICTING

- A. All recommendations are based on 2000 census data.
- B. Equal population per U.S. representatives is based upon the Constitution, Article 1, Section 2, in part "chusing representatives by States, ... shall be apportioned among the several States, ... according to their respective numbers (census) ... the number of Representatives shall not exceed one for every thirty thousand, but each State shall have at least one Representative."

Law modified this section several times. The current number of Representatives was established at 435 in 1909. Thus the Decennial Census total count is divided by 435 to determine the number of persons per Representative. The Constitution does refer to whole numbers thus the number of representatives per state is rounded up or down to reach a whole number.

- C. The principal of voting districts is not specifically addressed in the U.S. Constitution but delegated to the States in Article 1, Section 4 as follows: "The Time, Places and Manner of holding elections for senators and Representatives shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof; but the Congress may at any time by law make or alter such regulations, except as to places of chusing Senators..."

There were modifications to the section with the most recent and heavily litigated Voting Rights Act of 1965. The three pivotal cases were: Miller vs Johnson 115 S.C.2475(1995);

Reno v Bossier Parish School Board 520us 471 (1997); and Shaw vs Reno 113 S.C. 2816 (1999).

These and other cases concerned minority representation with voters either excluded/included in gerrymandered configured districts. The concept of compactness and community interest, natural and historic boundaries is current tests used to approve or disapprove political districts.

V **PRINCIPLES FOR REDISTRICTING**

- A. We believe redistricting is a political process and every effort should be made to assist Republicans to hold or gain office while maintaining logical boundaries.
- B. We believe we should use natural boundaries when possible.
- C. We believe Nassau County should maintain our political autonomy as much as possible.
- D. We believe communities of interest in Nassau County are both broad and diverse while mutually supportive and should be maintained as much as possible.

VI. **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REDISTRICTING
FLORIDA CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 4, FLORIDA
SENATE DISTRICT 4 AND FLORIDA HOUSE
DISTRICT 12**

A. **FLORIDA CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 4:**

1. Discussion:

This district, in its current configuration, maintains Nassau County as a whole and continues down the Atlantic coastline. In accordance with the 2000

census, the population of this District is 734,346 which is 94,951 over the average population of a district. See Attachment (1) map.

2. **Recommendation:**

The Committee agreed that changes will need to be made to the 4th District to reduce the population overage and it is recommended that those changes be made in the Southern end of the District. No additional changes are recommended. This recommendation falls within the Ground rules and Principles set forth in this document. Nassau County's community interests in congressional district four are as follows;

1. Nassau County shares a large coastal area that is of significant importance to the entire Northeast Florida eco system and tourism.
2. Nassau County is a rural county that is quickly becoming a highly developed residential and commercial area.
3. Other areas of this district have gone from largely rural areas to high growth residential/ retail.
4. Many issues that affect Duval County and have a stake in how those issues are represented impact Nassau County.

B. **FLORIDA SENATE DISTRICT 4:**

1. Discussion:

This district currently covers all or parts of 18 counties. The average population of this district is 408,354 (according to the 2000 census) which is 8.795 over populated for an average district This district is not compact and does not fall within the principles set forth in this document. Since the Committee did not agree with the present configuration of this district, two options were then considered: (1) Adding all of Nassau

County to the current District 6, (2) Adding all of Nassau County to the current District 8. See Attachment (2) map.

2. Recommendation:

It is recommended that redistricting maps be redrawn to include Nassau County, as a whole, in the 6th District. This change will result in a logical, compact area with similar interests and closer representation. This recommendation falls within the Ground rules and Principles set forth in the document. Nassau County's community interests are as follows;

1. Nassau County is affected by issues affecting Duval County and needs political representation that allows for participation in the Duval delegation.
 2. Nassau County has historic ties to residents of the westside of Duval County and share many interests, family ties, beliefs systems and economic interests.
 3. Nassau County residents need to have closer proximity to their State Senator be able to meet with that representative without the barrier posed by distance.
 4. Nassau County is a fast growth county and is expected in the next ten years to become increasingly residential in its demographic make up.
 5. Nassau County shares the economic development interests of Senate District 6 and has a stake in how parts of the district develop over the next ten years.
-

C. **FLORIDA HOUSE , DISTRICT 12**

1. Discussion:

This district covers a large area and is not as compact as it should be. Based on the 2000 census, the average district population should be 133,186. District 12 is 1,528 over the average district population that will not be difficult to adjust. See Attachment (3) map.

2. Recommendation:

The Committee recommends that, in order to reduce the population growth, Union County be dropped from this District and additional Western Duval areas be included if necessary to maintain the required population. Other than those changes, it is recommended that this district basically remain the same. This recommendation falls within the Ground rules and Principles as set forth in this document. Nassau County's community interests are as follows in the representation in the State House of Representatives;


- clean up*
1. Nassau County continues to be a significant player in the political landscape of HD 12. The population in Nassau County have historic interest in this seat in its citizens has provided the State Representative for many years.
 2. Nassau County continues to have community interests that revolve around issues affecting Duval County. It is of great importance that Nassau County remains part of the Duval Delegation in order to ensure its interests are represented on a regional level.
 3. Nassau County continues to have agricultural interests that are contiguous with other rural
-

counties that compose HD 12 and needs to have a voice in agricultural matters.

4. Nassau County has both cultural and community ties to residents in Baker and Bradford Counties that form important economic and social ties in the region.


VII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR LOCAL DISTRICTS

TO BE PROVIDED AT A LATER DATE.





The Florida Senate
 Committee on Reapportionment
 404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
 Voice: 850.487.5855 Fax: 850.487.6458
 Senator Daniel Webster, Chairman


CURRENT CONGRESSSIONAL DISTRICTS



CURRENT SENATE DISTRICTS




Dist. 17




Dist. 10



Dist. 11



Dist. 12



House Committee on Redistricting
 203 House Office Building
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
 Phone: 904-485-3928
 July 2001





NOV 2

CITY OF ST. CLOUD, FLORIDA

1300 NINTH STREET • ST. CLOUD, FLORIDA 34769

PHONE
(407) 957-7301
(407) 957-7304

FAX
(407) 957-7385

GLENN SANGIOVANNI
Mayor

MARK ROSENBAUER
Deputy Mayor

JOHN RALLIS
Council Member

MICKEY HOPPER
Council Member

TOM SMITH
Council Member

PAUL G. KASKEY
City Manager


October 18, 2001

Honorable Jack Latvala
Chairman, Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

Dear Honorable Latvala:

Enclosed you will find a copy of Resolution Number 2001-216R, which was approved by the City Council of the City of St. Cloud, Florida at their regular meeting of October 11, 2001, in support of Congressional Redistricting that continues the City of St. Cloud united with Brevard County within Congressional District 15.

Sincerely,


Paul G. Kaskey
City Manager

Enclosure

RESOLUTION NO. 2001-216R

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. CLOUD, FLORIDA, IN SUPPORT OF CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING THAT CONTINUES THE CITY OF ST. CLOUD UNITED WITH BREVARD COUNTY WITHIN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 15.

WHEREAS, Congressional District 15 is comprised of Indian River County, Brevard County, and parts of Osceola and Polk Counties; and,

WHEREAS, Congressional District 15, based on data from the 2000 Census will require a reduction in size by approximately 80,000 residents, thereby changing its boundaries; and,

WHEREAS, St. Cloud shares a number of common ties with Brevard County, the 11th Federal Circuit Court, the 5th District State Department of Transportation; and,

WHEREAS, the major emergency disaster evacuation route from the coast inland from Brevard County is Route 192 which leads to St. Cloud and is in need of widening, a concern shared by the City of St. Cloud, the St. Cloud Chamber of Commerce, and the Melbourne/Palm Bay Area Chamber of Commerce, and Brevard local governments; and,

WHEREAS, the ranching, agricultural character of the St. Cloud area has much in common with the western part of Brevard County; and,

WHEREAS, the educational services of Brevard County Community College have become a part of Osceola County, with a campus presence established at the new Town of Harmony, St. Cloud, now under development between St. Cloud and Melbourne; and,


WHEREAS, the major new growth will take place within the next ten years along the 192 corridor east of St. Cloud, linking St. Cloud and Brevard County.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of St. Cloud, Florida, as follows:


Section I. The City Council of the City of St. Cloud, Florida does hereby petition the State Legislature to include the City of St. Cloud and the area east, including Brevard County to remain within Congressional District 15 once the redistricting process is complete.


PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of St. Cloud, Florida, on the 11th day of October, 2001.

ATTEST:


City Manager, Paul G. Kaskey

LEGAL IN FORM AND VALID IF ADOPTED


City Attorney, Daniel F. Mantzaris


CITY OF ST. CLOUD


Mayor, Glenn Sangiovanni



CITY OF

LAKE WORTH

7 NORTH DIXIE HIGHWAY
LAKE WORTH, FLORIDA 33460



BARBARA A. FORSYTHE, CMC
CITY CLERK

TELEPHONE (561) 586-1662
FAX (561) 586-1750

MEMORANDUM

V.C)

TO: Florida Reapportionment Committee
Florida Procedural and Redistricting Council

FROM: City Clerk Barbara A. Forsythe *BAF*

DATE: October 24, 2001

SUBJECT: Redistricting to Provide Most Effective Representation

In regular meeting held September 4, 2001, the Lake Worth City Commission adopted Resolution No. 57-2001, to the Florida Legislative Redistricting Committee, requesting that the City of Lake Worth be redistricted so as to be represented by only one State Senator and one or two State Representatives.

On October 8, 2001, the South Palm Park Homeowners' Association adopted a similar resolution, which is enclosed for your favorable consideration.

BAF/ble

Encl. (1)

cc: Mayor and Commissioners
City Manager
City Attorney
South Palm Park HA

SOUTH PALM PARK ASSOCIATION INCORPORATED OF THE CITY OF LAKE WORTH, FLORIDA, TO THE FLORIDA LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE REQUESTING THAT THE CITY OF LAKE WORTH BE REDISTRICTED SO AS TO BE REPRESENTED BY ONLY ONE STATE SENATOR AND ONE OR TWO STATE REPRESENTATIVES; PROVIDING FOR DISTRIBUTION.

WHEREAS, Lake Worth is an urban community on Florida's east coast, lying immediately south of West Palm Beach, the county seat; and

WHEREAS, the City of Lake Worth is arguably the county's most culturally diverse community, but united in purpose; and

WHEREAS, the City is only 5.5 square miles, and its population exceeds 35, 000; and

WHEREAS, Lake Worth's current state representation is parceled out among three Senators, Muriel Dawson (30), Ron Klein (28), and Ken Pruitt (27) and among three Representatives, Susan Bucher (86), Jeff Atwater (83), and Bill Andrews (87); and

WHEREAS, the South Palm Park Association Incorporated believes that the community's interest can be more fairly represented if the City was not spread out among so many elected state officials; and

WHEREAS, the South Palm Park Association Incorporated feel that the City's senator and representative would be more sensitive to the particular needs of the City and County if he or she were a resident of Palm Beach County. Currently, one of the City's senators lives in Broward County and another senator lives in St. Lucie County (two counties away!); and

WHEREAS, although the senators may try to spend time with the City, the senators' busy schedules and the expansive geography of their districts make it difficult for them to give the City's issues the attention they would like to give;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SOUTH PALM PARK ASSOCIATION INCORPORATED, OF THE CITY OF LAKE WORTH, FLORIDA, that:

Section 1. The South Palm Park Association Incorporated of the City of Lake Worth, Florida, hereby requests that the City be redistricted so as to be represented by only one State Senator and one or two State Representatives.

Section 2. Copies of this Resolution shall be sent to the Florida Legislative Redistricting Committee.

Section 3. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage.


The passage of this Resolution was moved by South Palm Park Association Incorporated Member Chip Guthrie, seconded by South Palm Park Association Incorporated Member Susan Fleming, and upon being put before the general meeting of September 10, 2001, there were no objections.

The President there upon declared this Resolution duly passed and adopted on this 8th day of October, 2001.

South Palm Park Association Incorporated


By: 
Luke Mittner, President

ATTEST:


Joanne Kelly, Corresponding Secretary

P.O. Box 555003
Orlando, Florida 32855

October 26, 2001



TO: The Honorable Daniel Webster, Chairman
Florida Senator – District 12
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment

FROM: James Q. Mitchell 

SUBJECT: Florida Senate Redistricting – Public Proposal for Orange County

ENCLOSURES: 1) Summary of Senate Population Districts by Race
2) Redistricting Map for Senate Districts
3) Summary of Census Tract Data for Senate Districts

Enclosed is Florida Senate Redistricting Public Proposal for Orange County, Florida. This public proposal provides two (2) Senate districts and one (1) Off Load district based on the 2000 Census Data for Orange County.

It is respectfully requested that the Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment consider the enclosed public proposal on redistricting for Orange County, Florida in making its decision for Reapportionment of Orange County, Florida.

The unique characteristics of this public proposal is as follows:

- A. Two Senate Districts – restricted **only** to Orange County residents in the election process.
- B. Off Load District – restricted to Orange and Seminole Counties. The Off Load population (90,199) represents only 10% of the total population of Orange County (896,344).
- C. District 12 – competitive influential district for Hispanics (23%).
- D. District 14 - strong influential district for Blacks (32.5%). Also, this is a strong minority district (44.5%) vs. Whites (55.5%).
- E. The two (2) Senate districts are legal districts with a +.49 and +1.27% deviation.
- F. The two Senate districts and Off Load district were prepared utilizing Complete tract numbers based on the 2000 Census Data.

Letter from James Q. Mitchell

Re: Florida Senate Redistricting – Public Proposal Senate - JQM

Page two

Also, this public proposal has been prepared so that your committee members can specifically make changes as required in the redistricting process on the State level.

If there are any questions, please contact the undersigned at Area Code (407) 425-6883. Your attention to my request to consider Florida Senate Redistricting Public Proposal is appreciated.

cc: Florida Senate Reapportionment Committee

The Honorable Buddy Dyer
Florida Senate – District 14

The Honorable Gary Siplin
Florida House – District 39

Office of Civil Rights
Voting Rights Division

FLORIDA SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT PUBLIC PROPOSAL SENATE – JQM

REDISTRICTING PUZZLE



PREPARED FOR: FLORIDA SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT COMMITTEE
BY: JAMES O. MITCHELL

**FLORIDA SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT
SUMMARY OF POPULATION DISTRICTS BY RACE
(DISTRICTS 12, 14, AND OFF LOAD)**

<u>DISTRICT</u>	<u>TOTAL POPULATION</u>	<u>DEVIATION</u>	<u>WHITE</u>	<u>BLACK</u>	<u>ASIAN</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>HISPANIC</u>
12	404,634	1.27	316,579 78.2%	27,933 6.9%	15,757 3.9%	44,365 11%	91,737 22.7%
14	401,511	0.49	223,024 55.5%	130,353 32.5%	10,560 2.6%	37,574 9.4%	60,118 15%
OFF LOAD	90,199	N/A	75,230 83.4%	4,613 5.1%	3,710 4.1%	6,646 7.4%	13,983 16.0%
TOTAL	386,344						

PREPARED BY: James Q. Mitchell
P.O. Box 555003
Orlando, FL 32855
(407) 425-6883

FLORIDA SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUMMARY OF CENSUS TRACT DATA FOR SENATE

DISTRICT 12

2000 Census Tract: Orange County, FL	Total:	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Two or more races	Hispanic or Latino
Tract 101	700	670	15	0	3	0	5	7	76
Tract 102	3,598	3,284	133	22	51	2	41	65	262
Tract 103	2,841	2,594	82	7	39	1	57	61	226
Tract 108.01	1,478	1,347	22	6	60	1	13	29	95
Tract 108.02	3,142	2,875	56	9	109	0	24	69	194
Tract 109	1,336	1,219	48	6	25	0	21	17	75
Tract 110	3,227	2,596	305	14	92	10	122	88	595
Tract 111	3,509	3,005	209	15	45	5	138	92	545
Tract 112	3,512	3,309	49	13	61	2	47	31	210
Tract 113	4,717	4,245	168	13	67	0	118	106	432
Tract 127.01	4,606	4,249	102	20	85	3	50	97	216
Tract 127.02	892	717	69	1	51	0	33	21	88
Tract 128	4,650	3,935	596	27	46	0	17	29	156
Tract 129	2,993	2,739	51	7	85	4	63	44	204
Tract 130.01	4,141	3,006	391	16	169	5	377	177	1,268
Tract 130.02	48	42	0	0	1	0	1	4	5
Tract 131	877	604	50	7	46	3	135	32	283
Tract 132	8,339	5,812	608	45	349	5	1,178	342	3,443
Tract 133	7,153	5,771	438	34	102	6	551	251	2,356
Tract 134.02	3,075	2,241	154	10	67	4	446	153	1,026
Tract 134.03	3,448	2,395	307	14	40	0	569	123	1,724
Tract 134.04	5,511	3,388	638	32	144	0	1,050	259	2,539
Tract 135.03	2,590	1,472	504	17	55	0	406	136	1,426
Tract 135.04	5,619	3,839	650	23	137	4	711	255	2,097
Tract 135.05	3,039	2,359	193	10	85	4	282	106	998
Tract 135.06	8,696	6,665	560	48	213	6	848	356	2,885
Tract 135.07	3,054	2,341	277	11	109	1	204	111	671
Tract 136.02	8,243	6,595	470	39	238	5	563	333	1,931
Tract 136.03	5,304	4,173	491	10	74	5	388	163	1,689
Tract 136.04	5,697	4,418	355	18	182	0	533	191	1,481
Tract 136.05	3,427	2,699	258	14	170	1	204	81	585
Tract 137	9,351	7,262	860	31	158	5	654	381	2,078
Tract 138.01	2,665	2,143	255	13	20	3	167	64	352
Tract 138.02	2,846	2,700	58	10	20	2	25	31	170
Tract 138.03	2,726	2,537	52	6	37	2	48	44	225
Tract 139	4,019	3,597	217	8	61	1	73	62	357
Tract 140	6,385	6,086	77	5	72	0	65	80	391
Tract 141	5,957	5,500	168	19	83	1	108	78	473
Tract 142	7,187	4,818	928	35	189	54	849	314	2,716
Tract 148.07	6,442	4,651	665	13	623	9	226	255	779
Tract 148.08	6,508	5,678	268	9	353	4	72	124	367
Tract 148.09	2,961	2,688	61	6	129	2	25	50	127
Tract 148.10	5,789	4,856	247	14	416	3	100	153	425
Tract 148.11	5,751	4,846	187	5	368	16	138	191	556
Tract 148.13	2,864	2,378	73	1	264	1	77	70	271

**FLORIDA SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT
SUMMARY OF CENSUS TRACT DATA FOR SENATE**

DISTRICT 12

2000 Census Tract	Total:	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Two or more races	Hispanic or Latino
Tract 165.07	6,044	4,672	561	12	235	2	364	198	1,041
Tract 165.08	7,031	5,483	495	25	151	6	561	310	1,613
Tract 165.09	3,897	3,592	80	14	44	0	113	54	369
Tract 166.01	5,166	4,892	37	36	30	1	62	108	332
Tract 166.02	5,099	4,437	205	22	214	8	129	84	446
Tract 167.04	1,905	1,825	15	10	10	2	14	29	83
Tract 167.09	6,755	4,629	428	37	151	6	1,101	403	3,388
Tract 167.10	2,428	1,867	150	14	78	0	238	81	784
Tract 167.11	8,547	6,530	638	37	202	1	800	339	2,718
Tract 167.12	7,167	5,336	503	32	239	3	770	284	2,221
Tract 167.13	7,561	5,087	532	29	312	6	1,269	326	3,388
Tract 167.14	3,193	2,134	260	17	65	1	577	139	1,186
Tract 167.15	7,036	5,047	521	24	302	4	822	316	2,731
Tract 167.16	4,421	3,905	179	6	94	3	142	92	681
Tract 167.17	2,163	1,621	208	10	69	4	196	55	582
Tract 167.18	9,393	6,706	657	25	312	8	1,299	386	3,229
Tract 167.19	24,506	19,320	1,702	60	1,144	15	1,586	679	5,011
Tract 167.22	8,820	6,087	1,516	43	244	4	636	290	2,153
Tract 168.02	2,336	1,772	148	5	21	0	256	134	1,103
Tract 168.03	2,887	1,775	395	9	236	5	316	151	960
Tract 168.04	2,815	2,228	159	30	101	2	217	78	835
Tract 168.05	13,479	8,840	1,721	65	474	16	1,748	615	6,658
Tract 170.05	8,390	6,989	339	11	523	13	296	219	1,092
Tract 170.06	1,592	1,096	90	0	219	3	110	74	416
Tract 170.07	12,129	9,503	747	20	897	2	614	346	2,166
Tract 170.08	7,596	4,904	721	36	439	16	1,085	395	3,015
Tract 170.09	9,678	6,462	857	26	989	16	856	472	2,759
Tract 170.11	5,175	3,334	504	19	478	3	552	285	1,811
Tract 171.03	2,831	2,281	92	9	72	5	238	134	554
Tract 171.04	5,640	4,668	627	5	164	0	70	106	353
Tract 171.05	2,878	2,707	51	4	59	0	23	34	147
Tract 171.06	8,870	7,433	211	19	748	3	217	239	740
Tract 171.07	6,293	5,070	219	6	648	2	133	215	629

**FLORIDA SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT
SUMMARY OF CENSUS TRACT DATA FOR SENATE**

DISTRICT 14

2000 Census Tract: Orange County, FL	Total:	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Two or more races	Hispanic or Latino
Tract 104	1,990	74	1,847	2	3	2	32	30	105
Tract 105	2,014	34	1,858	4	1	1	15	101	47
Tract 106	3,377	791	2,324	19	49	1	58	135	357
Tract 107.01	901	884	4	1	3	0	1	8	28
Tract 107.02	902	866	10	2	8	2	2	12	18
Tract 114	1,037	713	256	5	7	1	32	23	77
Tract 115	2,976	783	1,983	12	12	1	90	95	241
Tract 116	4,780	1,350	3,001	16	43	2	157	211	340
Tract 117.01	4,298	114	4,066	14	9	1	30	64	82
Tract 117.02	4,847	67	4,490	8	10	0	46	226	102
Tract 118	2,179	468	1,573	6	12	0	50	70	149
Tract 119.01	4,647	493	3,900	24	25	0	68	137	251
Tract 119.02	1,069	462	493	8	28	0	37	41	84
Tract 120	6,637	1,616	3,919	29	302	12	346	413	918
Tract 121	6,090	1,905	3,316	16	122	9	412	310	763
Tract 122.01	4,141	1,338	2,243	8	88	2	184	278	450
Tract 122.02	5,021	1,645	2,667	21	116	0	283	289	617
Tract 123.03	3,721	1,837	1,471	21	128	5	129	130	372
Tract 123.04	4,706	1,559	2,393	11	246	7	260	230	816
Tract 123.05	6,587	2,908	2,732	20	249	1	406	271	1,189
Tract 123.06	3,698	1,498	1,641	8	144	0	221	186	542
Tract 123.07	7,424	2,501	3,863	15	164	2	428	451	1,008
Tract 124.01	7,838	3,310	3,548	26	167	8	455	324	1,057
Tract 124.02	4,750	2,225	1,947	13	102	4	268	191	582
Tract 124.03	5,101	2,074	2,296	12	151	4	262	302	630
Tract 125	2,411	2,289	34	8	34	0	28	18	102
Tract 126	5,198	4,989	71	7	49	2	26	54	194
Tract 143.01	3,663	2,636	463	14	230	6	220	94	742
Tract 143.02	4,936	3,110	815	44	133	6	491	337	2,109
Tract 144	3,101	2,506	391	6	45	1	75	77	312
Tract 145.01	9,780	4,528	4,455	15	140	6	369	267	1,012
Tract 145.02	4,680	1,506	2,434	9	59	3	308	361	995
Tract 146.01	7,362	811	5,597	26	91	6	456	375	933
Tract 146.04	8,302	2,830	4,665	7	204	5	243	348	822
Tract 146.05	4,752	50	4,587	5	6	2	34	68	84
Tract 146.06	5,818	3,721	610	28	500	7	573	379	1,561
Tract 146.07	4,231	3,243	388	15	197	10	201	177	800
Tract 147.01	5,062	2,866	1,481	30	170	3	349	163	764
Tract 147.02	4,944	3,622	505	15	298	2	282	220	717
Tract 147.03	1,255	925	152	1	78	0	48	51	150
Tract 147.04	3,638	2,972	255	14	185	3	100	109	476
Tract 148.04	4,493	3,590	459	10	115	8	163	148	618
Tract 148.05	3,705	2,104	814	13	267	8	282	217	670
Tract 148.06	4,719	3,561	472	5	331	4	185	161	513
Tract 148.12	4,291	3,182	343	19	279	30	228	210	739

FLORIDA SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT SUMMARY OF CENSUS TRACT DATA FOR SENATE

DISTRICT 14

2000 Census Tract: Orange County, FL	Total:	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Two or more races	Hispanic or Latino
Tract 149.03	9,041	3,499	4,334	30	364	4	400	410	1,074
Tract 149.04	2,753	1,100	1,235	11	86	0	179	142	447
Tract 149.05	2,597	2,271	140	12	92	0	50	32	225
Tract 149.06	4,953	3,756	708	9	136	1	214	129	549
Tract 149.07	450	258	115	1	39	0	14	23	54
Tract 150.01	1,655	1,160	50	5	5	4	379	52	812
Tract 150.02	4,710	3,932	76	20	45	0	531	106	910
Tract 150.03	5,970	4,973	246	38	107	3	453	150	978
Tract 150.04	5,137	4,062	564	20	198	8	160	125	470
Tract 151.03	5,602	4,519	570	27	142	2	182	160	822
Tract 151.04	5,396	3,667	1,121	26	122	2	273	185	985
Tract 151.05	3,404	2,412	685	33	28	5	135	106	359
Tract 151.06	6,441	3,175	2,370	17	237	1	355	286	922
Tract 152.01	4,721	3,139	1,113	9	204	0	150	106	509
Tract 152.02	6,171	3,569	2,000	30	140	10	299	123	776
Tract 153	4,006	3,583	137	14	132	2	58	80	224
Tract 154.01	2,467	1,224	1,016	6	54	2	94	71	305
Tract 154.02	2,416	2,186	93	4	48	1	36	48	153
Tract 155.01	5,553	4,451	821	10	83	0	69	119	368
Tract 155.02	845	9	814	6	2	0	1	13	17
Tract 159.01	2,083	581	1,427	7	9	0	29	30	103
Tract 159.02	1,617	1,483	33	4	52	1	12	32	77
Tract 169.02	4,753	2,646	850	31	234	26	551	415	1,957
Tract 169.03	7,777	3,601	1,713	21	451	22	1,264	705	3,472
Tract 169.04	4,489	1,416	1,680	21	410	7	546	409	1,551
Tract 169.05	9,856	3,801	3,695	47	389	21	1,219	684	3,826
Tract 170.01	2,485	189	2,207	3	5	2	28	51	68
Tract 170.04	4,225	3,610	232	10	92	54	111	116	453
Tract 172	2,090	1,480	429	12	22	1	97	49	290
Tract 173	7,227	5,757	517	43	55	3	663	189	1,510
Tract 174	7,848	5,436	1,900	16	52	4	322	118	1,083
Tract 175.01	5,201	2,559	2,197	25	17	12	280	111	615
Tract 175.03	5,491	4,299	550	18	100	6	363	155	1,286
Tract 175.04	7,534	5,672	1,106	36	146	7	357	210	1,223
Tract 176	4,963	1,376	3,087	31	4	0	346	119	838
Tract 177.01	3,338	2,472	548	13	62	10	114	119	633
Tract 177.02	1,944	1,793	78	1	42	0	16	14	110
Tract 177.03	4,294	3,251	319	44	93	0	453	134	1,093
Tract 178.02	6,180	5,245	500	24	94	1	224	92	777
Tract 178.04	5,304	4,870	155	23	61	5	110	80	553
Tract 178.05	2,588	2,377	57	15	14	5	69	51	232
Tract 178.06	4,885	4,226	341	19	116	2	105	76	410
Tract 178.07	3,349	2,839	147	13	59	5	200	86	668
Tract 178.08	3,061	2,834	101	2	70	4	12	38	164
Tract 179.01	2,386	1,695	564	5	17	0	80	25	415
Tract 179.02	5,183	4,015	880	19	36	0	165	68	612

**FLORIDA SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT
SUMMARY OF CENSUS TRACT DATA FOR SENATE**

DISTRICT OFF LOAD

2000 Census Tract: Orange County, FL	Total:	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Two or more races	Hispanic or Latino
Tract 156.01	2,010	1,945	19	0	24	0	9	13	58
Tract 156.02	2,350	2,309	10	0	24	0	0	7	88
Tract 157.01	1,918	1,854	21	3	23	1	5	11	55
Tract 157.02	1,717	1,644	24	4	26	0	8	11	84
Tract 158.01	1,616	1,522	66	0	16	0	4	8	50
Tract 158.02	2,400	2,343	16	4	13	0	6	18	68
Tract 160.01	2,067	1,934	82	1	23	1	6	20	31
Tract 160.02	2,266	2,174	22	6	41	0	6	17	60
Tract 161	3,820	3,690	17	4	44	5	16	44	93
Tract 162	6,564	5,906	270	8	145	0	120	115	568
Tract 163.01	6,063	5,340	288	19	166	0	121	129	735
Tract 163.02	4,035	2,757	409	31	101	5	485	247	1,299
Tract 164.02	2,722	2,168	135	12	135	0	177	95	765
Tract 164.06	1,938	1,539	152	6	76	8	89	68	367
Tract 164.07	5,594	4,343	387	14	280	8	350	212	1,162
Tract 164.08	2,412	1,907	133	11	161	1	149	50	415
Tract 164.09	3,328	2,915	77	2	180	0	81	73	405
Tract 164.10	7,852	6,080	483	26	404	30	573	256	1,862
Tract 164.11	3,560	2,785	277	7	81	5	301	104	966
Tract 164.12	4,942	3,776	268	10	312	8	393	175	1,279
Tract 165.03	6,344	5,016	389	10	438	6	311	174	1,194
Tract 165.04	3,957	3,155	180	16	244	1	211	150	800
Tract 165.05	3,012	2,560	120	12	109	1	131	79	445
Tract 165.06	7,712	5,568	768	30	644	3	404	295	1,134