

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1301

Violations of County Ordinances

SPONSOR(S): Rader

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1980

	REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1)	Military & Local Affairs Policy Committee	13 Y, 0 N	Fudge	Hoagland
2)	Public Safety & Domestic Security Policy Committee			
3)	Economic Development & Community Affairs Policy Council			
4)				
5)				

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Currently, violations of county ordinances are prosecuted in the same manner as misdemeanors and are punishable by a fine not to exceed \$500 or by imprisonment in the county jail not to exceed 60 days.

The bill allows counties to enact ordinances enforcing standards of conduct and disclosure requirements for county's officers and employees punishable by a fine of up to \$1,000 or a term of imprisonment not to exceed one year.

HOUSE PRINCIPLES

Members are encouraged to evaluate proposed legislation in light of the following guiding principles of the House of Representatives

- Balance the state budget.
- Create a legal and regulatory environment that fosters economic growth and job creation.
- Lower the tax burden on families and businesses.
- Reverse or restrain the growth of government.
- Promote public safety.
- Promote educational accountability, excellence, and choice.
- Foster respect for the family and for innocent human life.
- Protect Florida's natural beauty.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Current Situation

The Florida Constitution recognizes two types of county government: counties that are not operating under a county charter and counties that are operating under a county charter. The main difference between charter and non-charter county power is that a charter county has the power of self-government not inconsistent with general or special law,¹ while a non-charter county only has "such power of self-government as is provided by general or special law."²

County Ordinances

County ordinances must be filed with the Secretary of State³ and persons violating such ordinances are prosecuted and punished as provided by law.⁴ Section 125.69(1), F.S., provides that violations of county ordinances are prosecuted in the same manner as misdemeanors in the name of the state in a court having jurisdiction of misdemeanors and are punishable by a fine not to exceed \$500 or by imprisonment in the county jail not to exceed 60 days.⁵

Section 112.326, F.S., states that the governing body of any political subdivision is not prohibited from imposing upon its own officers and employees, by ordinance, additional or more stringent standards of conduct and disclosure requirements than those specified in Part III of ch. 112, F.S., provided that those standards of conduct and disclosure requirements do not otherwise conflict with the provisions of Part III of ch. 112, F.S.

¹ Art. VIII, § 1(g), Fla. Const.

² Art. VIII, § 1(f), Fla. Const.

³ Art. VIII, § 1(i), Fla. Const.

⁴ Art. VIII, § 1(j), Fla. Const.

⁵ A county may also specify, by ordinance, a violation of which is punishable by a fine between \$500 and \$2,000 per day, if such enforcement authority is necessary to carry out a federally mandated program. Section 125.69(1), F.S.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill authorizes counties to specify, by ordinance, that a violation of any provision of an ordinance imposing standards of conduct and disclosure requirements pursuant to s. 112.326, F.S., is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$1,000 or a term of imprisonment in the county jail not to exceed one year.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 125.69, F.S., to authorize county ordinances for violations of s. 112.326, F.S.

Section 2: Provides an effective date of upon July 1, 2010.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Counties enacting ordinances authorized by the bill may generate revenues through the additional fines.

2. Expenditures:

Counties may experience increased expenditures from imprisoning violators in county jails.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable because this bill does not appear to: require cities or counties to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that cities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with cities or counties.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES